



Public Safety Impact Fee Nexus Study

City of Tracy
Master Plan Area
August 2025

Prepared for:



Prepared by:



1401 Willow Pass Road, Suite 500
Concord, CA 94520
(925) 827-4900

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary and Introductory Sections	3
Introduction.....	3
Nexus Study	4
Nexus Study Requirements (Assembly Bill 1600)	6
Assembly Bill 602.....	6
Section 1 Population and Land Use Assumptions	9
Land Use Types	9
Service Population	10
Resident and Employment Density.....	13
Average Unit Sizes.....	13
Section 2 Public Safety Fees.....	15
Cost Summary and CIP.....	15
Tracy Rural Agreement.....	17
Fee Methodology	23
Fee Summary	26
Fee Comparison	29
Existing and Proposed Level of Service	33
Nexus Requirement Summary	34
Section 3 Implementation and Administration.....	37
Implementation	37
Fee Program Administrative Requirements.....	37
Fee Adjustment Procedures	38
Timing of Fee Payment.....	39
Designated Residential Projects Deferred Fee Payments	39
Program Management.....	40
Credits and Reimbursement Policies	41
Programming Revenues with the CIP.....	41
Fee Reporting.....	41
Accessory Dwelling Units	42
Specialized Development Projects.....	42
Section 4 Appendix: Tracy Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update (December 2023)	43

Tables

Table ES-1: Proposed Public Safety Impact Fees.....	5
Table ES-2: Proposed Public Safety Fees Comparison to Existing Public Safety Fees	6
Table 1-1: Projected New Population and Employee Growth (2040 and Buildout)	10
Table 2-1: Police Facilities New Development Shares.....	16
Table 2-2: Communication Facilities New Development Shares	17
Table 2-3: Public Safety Facilities Costs - Fire	19
Table 2-4: Public Safety Facilities Costs – Police and Communication Facilities	20
Table 2-5: Equivalent Dwelling Units – Fire Facilities	24
Table 2-6: Fire Cost per EDU	24
Table 2-7: Equivalent Dwelling Units – Police and Communication Tower	25
Table 2-8: Police and Communication Cost per EDU	25
Table 2-9: Public Safety Fees	27
Table 2-10: Public Safety – Fee Comparison	31
Table 2-11: Fire Existing and Proposed Level of Service	33
Table 2-12: Police Existing and Proposed Level of Service.....	34

Figures

Figure 1-1: City of Tracy Fee Program Areas	11
Figure 2-1: Police New Development Share.....	16
Figure 2-2: Communication Facilities New Development Share	17
Figure 2-3– Tracy Rural Boundaries	21

Appendix

Tracy Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update (December 2023)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTORY SECTIONS

INTRODUCTION

The City of Tracy (City) is located in the Central Valley and is the second most populated city in San Joaquin County (County). The City spans an area of about 26 miles within a triangle formed by Interstate 205 to the north, Interstate 580 to the southwest, and Interstate 5 to the east. The City is located near both fertile and infertile agricultural lands, due to a region of hills west of Tracy. The City is a railroad town that came from the mid-19th century construction.

The City was founded in 1878 and incorporated as a city in 1910. The City of Tracy grew rapidly and prospered as the center of an agricultural area, even when larger railroad operations began to decline in the 1950s. Competition with trucking and automobiles resulted in widespread railroad restructuring. The City has its origins associated with the Central Pacific Railroad, which ran from Sacramento through Stockton to the San Francisco Bay area. The City's ties to the agriculture industry allowed for steady growth in early to mid-20th century but growth accelerated starting in the 1980's as the City served as an extended bedroom community to the San Francisco, Oakland, and Silicon Valley metropolitan areas.

The City is part of the San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, California Combined Statistical Area, an extension of the Bay Area. At the time of the 2020 U.S. Census, the City population was 93,000.

As the resident population and non-resident employment in the City increase, there exists a correlating rise in the demand for public infrastructure and services to support the increased demand on the City. California's Assembly Bill 1600 (AB 1600) adopted in 1987 and codified as California Government Code Section 66000 et. seq., allows the City to impose Development Impact Fees on new development within the City. Development Impact Fees (DIFs) are one-time charges on new development that is collected and used by the City to cover the cost of capital facilities, vehicles, and equipment that are required to serve new growth.

In March 2013, the City adopted the Citywide Public Safety Master Plan completed by Hammond & Playle Architects. Following the adoption of the Master Plan, the City hired Harris & Associates to calculate the Public Safety Impact Fee Program (May 2013). The Public Safety Impact Fees were adopted in January of 2014 along with other Master Plan Fees and established the fees for development in the new areas of the City that were not already covered in one of the City's existing fee programs. The Public Safety Fees were updated on September 16, 2014 to revise the public safety communication tower project. In May of 2017, the South County Fire Authority Standards of Cover Study (SOC Study) was completed and recommended that the City build two new fire stations and relocate two existing stations. The Public Safety Fee was updated in August 2019 to reflect revised cost estimates and service coverage areas for the four proposed fire stations as well

as split the Public Safety Fee into four separate fee components: Fire, Police, Communication Facilities, and Program Administration. In the years since, the City has applied an annual Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Index (CCI) increase to the fees but has not completed a comprehensive update.

The City hired Indigo | Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP to complete the Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update (December 2023), (PSMP). The PSMP was adopted at City Council on April 16, 2024. The purpose of this Nexus Study is to incorporate the findings from the PSMP and update the Public Safety Impact Fee Program.

The goal of the City is to develop a fee program that achieves the funding objectives required to fund facilities identified in the master plans, balances fee levels with desired economic growth, and complies with the legal requirements of Assembly Bill 1600 (AB 1600) and Assembly Bill 602 (AB 602).

NEXUS STUDY

Purpose

As development occurs in the City, new backbone infrastructure and capital facilities are required to mitigate the increased demand created by new residents and workers. DIFs fund this required backbone infrastructure and capital facilities as well as the related administrative costs through the City's fee program. The Master Plan Fee Program Area contains separate fee categories for each type of infrastructure and capital facilities. This Nexus Study updates the Public Safety Impact Fee with information provided in the updated PSMP.

This report is designed to satisfy AB 1600 Nexus requirements, AB 602 guidance, and provide the necessary technical analysis to support the adoption of the updated fees. The fees will be effective 60 days after the City's final action establishing and authorizing the collection of the fees.

Fee Program Costs

The PSMP identified \$116.4 million (2023\$) of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) construction projects that are needed to be built to serve the public safety needs of the City of Tracy, not including remodel costs. These costs were updated to 2025 costs based on ENR. Of the identified amount, \$75.3 million attributable to the Public Safety Fee Program.

The Fire Facilities are sized to serve the buildout population of the City and the total cost attributable to the fee program is \$31.8 million. The Police Facilities are sized to serve the City's 2040 population and the total cost attributable to the fee program is \$40.8 million. Similarly, the Communication Facilities are sized to the 2040 population of the City and the cost attributable to

the fee program is roughly \$2.7 million. This does not account for any future inflationary escalation of fees.

Updated Fees

Pursuant to AB 602, residential development fees are to be assessed on a per square foot basis. To yield consistency across fees assessed on non-residential land uses, non-residential development fees will continue to be assessed per 1,000 building square feet. **Table ES-1** shows the proposed updated Public Safety Fee components (Fire, Police, and Communication Facilities) that make up the total Public Safety Fee.

Table ES-1: Proposed Public Safety Impact Fees

Land Use	Public Safety			Total Public Safety
	Fire	Police	Communication Facilities	
Residential (per SF) ¹				
Single Family Residential	\$0.49	\$0.85	\$0.05	\$1.39
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	\$0.65	\$1.13	\$0.06	\$1.84
High Density Residential (Attached 4+)	\$0.95	\$1.65	\$0.09	\$2.69
Non-Residential (per 1,000 Bldg SF) ¹				
Office	\$583	\$1,017	\$58	\$1,658
Commercial	\$352	\$614	\$35	\$1,001
Industrial	\$115	\$201	\$11	\$327

¹ Residential fees rounded to the nearest hundredths. Non-Residential fees rounded to the nearest dollar.

Proposed Fees Comparison with Existing Fees

Table ES-2 compares the proposed total Public Safety Fee to the existing total Public Safety Fee (as of July 1, 2024). The existing residential per unit fee was converted to a fee per square foot using the same average unit sizes as the proposed fee. Further information on the comparison is described within Section 2 of this report.

Table ES-2: Proposed Public Safety Fees Comparison to Existing Public Safety Fees

Land Use	Total Public Safety Fee		
	Proposed Fee	Existing Fee	Percent Change
Residential (per SF)			
Single Family Residential	\$1.39	\$0.83	67%
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	\$1.84	\$1.14	62%
High Density Residential (Attached 4+)	\$2.69	\$1.46	84%
Non-Residential (per 1,000 Bldg SF)			
Office	\$1,658.00	\$1,053.91	57%
Commercial	\$1,001.00	\$632.36	58%
Industrial	\$327.00	\$210.80	55%

NEXUS STUDY REQUIREMENTS (ASSEMBLY BILL 1600)

AB 1600 was enacted by the State of California in 1987 creating the Mitigation Fee Act - Section 66000 et seq. of the Government Code. The Mitigation Fee Act requires that all public agencies satisfy the following requirements when establishing, increasing, or imposing a fee as a condition of approval of a development project:

1. Identify the purpose of the fee.
2. Identify the use to which the fee is to be put. If the use is financing public facilities, the facilities shall be identified.
3. Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fees use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.
4. Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.
5. Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fee and the cost of the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which the fee is imposed.

The purpose of this report is to demonstrate that the Public Safety Fee comply with the Mitigation Fee Act. The assumptions, methodologies, facility standards, costs, and cost allocation factors that were used to establish the nexus between the fees and the development on which the fees will be charged are summarized in the subsequent section of this report.

ASSEMBLY BILL 602

Assembly Bill (AB) 602, enacted by the State of California in 2021, amended Sections 65940.1 and 66019 of, and added Section 66016.5 to the Government Code. AB 602 requires that if a local

agency conducts and adopts an impact fee nexus study after January 1, 2022, the local agency shall follow all of the following standards and practices:

1. Before the adoption of an associated development fee, an impact fee nexus study shall be adopted.
2. When applicable, the nexus study shall identify the existing level of service for each public facility, identify the proposed new level of service, and include an explanation of why the new level of service is appropriate.
3. A nexus study shall include information that supports the local agency's actions, as required by subdivision (a) of Section 66001 of the Government Code.
4. If a nexus study supports the increase of an existing fee, the local agency shall review the assumptions of the nexus study supporting the original fee and evaluate the amount of fees collected under the original fee.
5. A nexus study adopted after July 1, 2022, shall calculate a fee imposed on a housing development project proportionately to the square footage of proposed units of the development. A local agency that imposes a fee proportionately to the square footage of the proposed units of the development shall be deemed to have used a valid method to establish a reasonable relationship between the fee charged and the burden posed by the development. A nexus study is not required to comply with the requirements to calculate a fee imposed on a housing development project proportionally to the square footage of the proposed units if the local agency makes the following findings:
 - An explanation as to why square footage is not appropriate metric to calculate fees imposed on housing development project.
 - An explanation that an alternative basis of calculating the fee bears a reasonable relationship between the fee charged and the burden posed by the development.
 - That other policies in the fee structure support smaller developments or otherwise ensure that smaller developments are not charged disproportionate fees.
6. Large jurisdictions shall adopt a capital improvement plan as a part of the nexus study.
7. All studies shall be adopted at a public hearing with at least 30 days' notice, and the local agency shall notify any member of the public that requests notice of intent to begin an impact fee nexus study of the date of the hearing.
8. Studies shall be updated at least every eight years, beginning on January 1, 2022.
9. The local agency may use the impact fee nexus study template developed by the Department of Housing and Community Development pursuant to Section 50466.5 of the Health and Safety Code.

This report demonstrates that the Public Safety Fee complies with AB 602.

This page intentionally left blank.

Section 1 POPULATION AND LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS

LAND USE TYPES

To ensure a reasonable relationship between each fee and the type of development paying the fee, different land use types must be distinguished. The land use categories used in this analysis are defined below.

- **Single Family Residential (SFR):** Detached single-family dwelling units. Includes very low density, low density, and age-restricted units.
- **Multi-Family Residential (MFR):** Attached residential project consisting of 2 to 4 units.
- **High Density Residential (HDR):** Attached residential project consisting of 4 or more attached units.
- **Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU):** A second unit, attached or detached from a SFR.
- **Office:** All general, professional, and medical office development.
- **Commercial:** All commercial, retail, educational, hotel/motel development, and mixed-use development.
- **Industrial:** All manufacturing and warehouse development.

Some developments may include more than one land use type, such as an industrial warehouse with living quarters (a live-work designation) or a planned unit development with both Single and Multi-Family uses. In these cases, the fees will be calculated separately for each land use type.

Growth projections are used as indicators of demand. The City's existing population, as well as 2040 and buildout population projections, are critical assumptions used to calculate the components that make up the Public Safety Fee. The following resources were used:

- Estimates of population projections and total development through 2040 and buildout were based on the City's Land Use Plan by TAZ provided by the City's Planning Department and updated to remove permits that were pulled between the time the projections were made and May 23rd, 2025. Adjustments were made to accommodate recent development trends and planning documents. 1,685 High-Density Residential units were shifted from buildout projections to 2040 projections to match Master Plan assumptions. Non-residential 2040 projections were scaled using the Transportation Master Plan growth assumptions.
- Estimated persons per household data were based on the 2021 US Census American Community Survey.
- Existing population estimates are from the California Department of Finance.
- Worker projections were based on the employment density per worker identified in the U.S. Green Building Council LEED BD+C Default Occupancy Counts.

Table 1-1 identifies the estimated growth projections to year 2040 and to buildout.

Table 1-1: Projected New Population and Employee Growth (2040 and Buildout)

Land Use	Density	Total Projected New Development by 2040		Total Projected New Development at Buildout	
	<i>Persons Per Household¹</i>	<i>Dwelling Units</i>	<i>New Population</i>	<i>Dwelling Units</i>	<i>New Population</i>
Residential					
Single Family Residential ²	3.50	8,239	28,837	15,539	54,387
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	2.80	319	893	875	2,450
High Density Residential (Attached 4+) ³	2.60	3,097	8,052	3,871	10,065
Subtotal Residential		11,655	37,782	20,285	66,902
Non-Residential⁴	<i>Employment Density⁵</i>	<i>Square Feet</i>	<i>New Employees</i>	<i>Square Feet</i>	<i>New Employees</i>
Office	300	3,079,804	10,266	4,952,249	16,507
Commercial	500	7,603,107	15,206	12,225,611	24,451
Industrial	1,500	44,050,950	29,367	70,832,851	47,222
Subtotal Non-Residential		54,733,861	54,840	88,010,711	88,180

Source: City provided land use TAZ data. Updated to remove developments that have pulled permits as of 05/23/2025.

¹ Persons per household assumption using data from the US Census American Community Survey (2021).

² Includes Very Low Density, Low Density Residential, and Single Family Age Restricted.

³ Includes High Density and Very High Density Residential. 1,685 units shifted from Buildout to 2040 to match Master Plan assumptions.

⁴ Non-residential 2040 projections scaled using Transportation Master Plan assumptions.

⁵ Employment density is the square feet per worker based on U.S. Green Building Council LEED BD+C Default Occupancy Counts.


SERVICE POPULATION

Demand for services and the associated facilities is based on the City's future service population, which includes residents and workers. In calculating the service population for new growth, workers are weighted less than residents to reflect a lower service demand. Workers are weighted at half that of a resident based on the methodology established in the City's 2013 Public Safety Master Plan. The discount factor reflects the fact that employees typically generate less service demand than residents because they are present in the community for a limited portion of the day. However, even though workers are present only a portion of the day, the business benefits from round-the-clock public safety services, therefore 0.5 is used to reflect this lower demand.

The PSMP identified the demand for fire services and the associated facilities based on the City's service population at buildout. The PSMP identified the demand for police and communication services and the associated facilities based on the City's 2040 projected service population.

Figure 1-1 shows the Master Plan Fee program areas. Cordes Ranch and Tracy Hills are depicted in separate colors to differentiate that while they are generally subject to the Master Plan fees, they have agreements in place with the City that details specific adjustments. The Core Fees program area has their own set of fees as outlined in the "Core Fees Development Impact Fee Study" adopted August 2021 and the Ellis program area has their own set of fees as outlined in the "Ellis Program Area Finance and Implementation Plan" adopted August 2013.

Figure 1-1: City of Tracy Fee Program Areas



This page intentionally left blank.

RESIDENT AND EMPLOYMENT DENSITY

Using persons per household (PPH) data for residential units and employment density data for non-residential buildings is a common metric used to establish a reasonable relationship between the demand created by the development project and the fees charged. The residential density factors were derived using information from the US Census American Community Survey (2021) and the non-residential employment density factors are based on U.S. Green Building Council LEED BD+C Default Occupancy Counts. The following average density factors are used for each land use type.

<u>Residential</u>	<u>Density</u>
Single Family	3.50 residents per dwelling unit
Multi-Family (Attached 2-4)	2.80 residents per dwelling unit
High Density (Attached 4+)	2.60 residents per dwelling unit
<u>Non-Residential</u>	<u>Density</u>
Office	1 worker per 300 square feet
Commercial	1 worker per 500 square feet
Industrial	1 worker per 1,500 square feet

AVERAGE UNIT SIZES

To meet AB 602 requirement five (5), that states that a fee imposed on a housing development project shall be calculated proportionately to the square footage of proposed units of the development, this Nexus Study calculates a fee per unit and then uses the average unit size for Single Family Residential, Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4), and High Density Residential (Attached 4+) based on the estimated average size of planned new development within each land use category in the City to convert to a fee per square foot. The average unit size is based on the livable square footage of the residential units.

Basing the average unit size on livable square footage for all residential units is not only consistent with industry standard for fee calculations, but it also provides a strong nexus between the impact of the unit and the fee amount. A good example of industry standard are school fees in California. In California, school fees are based on assessable space, which means a quantity equal to the area (expressed in square feet) within the perimeter of a residential structure, not including the carport, communal walkway, garage, overhang, patio, enclosed patio, detached accessory structure or similar structure.

Multi-Family and High Density Residential projects that include communal spaces (i.e., clubhouse, maintenance facility, gym, etc.) will not be assessed impact fees on such areas as the

impact is considered to be captured within the residential fees. Areas that contain employees and are accessible by the public will be charged impact fees according to use (i.e., leasing office would pay office fees).

Based on the estimated average size of planned new development within each land use category in the City of Tracy, the following average unit sizes are utilized in this study.

Single Family Residential.....	2,500 Square Feet Per Unit
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4).....	1,500 Square Feet Per Unit
High Density Residential (Attached 4+).....	950 Square Feet Per Unit

The City will monitor the average size of new housing units in the City on an annual basis and if the average size of units is significantly less than anticipated, the fees will be updated as part of the annual update to reflect this change in order to ensure the fee program does not fall short.

As detailed in the next section, the fee per square foot is calculated by dividing the fee per unit by the average size shown above for each residential unit type. Fees for Multi-Family Residential and High Density Residential result in a higher fee per square foot than Single Family Residential due to the higher density of people per square foot of space.

Section 2 PUBLIC SAFETY FEES

COST SUMMARY AND CIP

The Public Safety Fees will fund the expansion of public safety facilities for Fire, Police and Communication Facilities. This study focuses on the costs that are attributable only to new development. The 2023 Public Safety Master Plan updated the cost estimates for Fire facilities needed by the City’s buildout population and Police and Communication facilities needed by the City’s Horizon Year population (2040). The costs in the PSMP were provided in 2023 dollars and are being escalated in the Nexus Study to 2024 dollars using the ENR CCI for San Francisco (June 2023 to February 2024). Due to the delay in the adoption of the Nexus Study, we analyzed the ENR CCI change from 2024 to April of 2025 but due to the fact that there has not been a significant change, the costs are not further being updated.

The Public Safety Master Plan identified \$116.4 million (2023\$) of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) construction projects that are needed to be built to serve the public safety needs of the City of Tracy. The Public Safety Master Plan Fees are based on new development’s fair share of the facilities and costs that are identified in the Public Safety Master Plan. The Fire Facilities are sized to serve the buildout population of the City. The Police and Communication Facilities are sized to serve the City’s 2040 population.

The Fire Facilities Fee component of the Public Safety Fee was originally established in 2014 with the adoption of the “Public Safety AB1600 Development Impact Fee Technical Memo” (Resolution 2014-010) and was updated in 2019 to incorporate the findings from the *South County Fire Authority Standards of Cover Study*. The percent attributable to future development for fire facilities remains mostly consistent and in line with the 2019 Development Impact Fee Update, which provided a detailed analysis of new development’s fair share of each of the fire stations. However, based on discussions with the Tracy Rural Fire Department, a few reductions in fair share were made to better reflect the County’s anticipated new development. These changes included reducing the fair share for the Cordes Ranch Fire Station to 93.5%. In addition, the training facility cost was reduced to 90% of the cost remaining after deducting the \$2.6 million grant. Tracy Rural will fund 10% from non-City of Tracy impact fee funding sources. The Fire Station Headquarters facility project was removed from the fee program since the planned remodel at this site to meet training facility needs is now planned to be met at the expanded Training Facility site. To account for development that has occurred since the start of the fee program, expenditures to date and fund balances are incorporated into the fee calculations.

In order to verify that the proposed fire facilities do not raise the existing level of service, **Table 2-11** compares the 2025 level of service (0.45) to the buildout level of service (0.41). As such this

comparison confirms that the level of service is not being increased from the baseline level of services.

The Police Facilities Fee component of the Public Safety Fee was originally established in 2014 with the adoption of the “Public Safety AB1600 Development Impact Fee Technical Memo” (Resolution 2014-010). The PSMP update identified four police facilities that are to be funded through the Police Facilities Fee component, shown in **Table 2-4**. The percent attributable to future development is determined by taking the ratio of the size of the needed facility space in 2040 divided by 2040 population (141,000) multiplied by the population growth (42,399) and then divided by the size of the new facility in 2040. This formula is shown in **Figure 2-1**.

Figure 2-1: Police New Development Share

$$\left(\frac{\text{FACILITY SIZE 2040 (SF)}}{\text{2040 POPULATION (\#)}} \right) \times \text{GROWTH POPULATION (\#)} = \text{PERCENTAGE ATTRIBUTABLE TO NEW DEVELOPMENT}$$

TOTAL NEW FACILITY BUILD (SF)

The calculations to determine new development’s share are shown in **Table 2-1**. For purposes of the fee calculation the police station buildings are combined since they all serve the same purpose. This calculation ensures that new development does not fund more than their fair share.

Table 2-1: Police Facilities New Development Shares

Facility	Facility Size at Buildout	Total New Facility Build	New Development Share
Police Station Buildings	56,216	28,600	59.11%
Training Facility	15,196	7,404	61.72%
Animal Shelter	15,592	5,900	79.47%

Remodel costs for both the police station and the training center are considered existing deficiencies that are not attributable to new development and factored out of the costs.

The Communication Facilities Fee component of the Public Safety Fee was originally established in 2014 with the adoption of the “Public Safety AB1600 Development Impact Fee Technical Memo” (Resolution 2014-010) and updated on September 16, 2014, by City Council by Resolution 2014-158, to incorporate updated costs for a communication tower and related communication equipment. The Public Safety Center and Emergency Operations Center was originally set to be funded through the Communication Facilities Fee as a standalone facility, but upon further evaluation, the PSMP shifted this facility to be incorporated within the planned police facilities. The Communication Facilities Fee is now comprised of Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities. The percent attributable to future development is determined by taking the ratio of the

Figure 2-2: Communication Facilities New Development Share

$$\left(\frac{\text{FACILITY SIZE 2040 (\$)}}{\text{2040 POPULATION (\#)}} \right) \times \text{GROWTH POPULATION (\#)} = \text{PERCENTAGE ATTRIBUTABLE TO NEW DEVELOPMENT}$$

TOTAL NEW FACILITY BUILD (\\$)

$$\left(\frac{\text{FACILITY SIZE 2040 (\$)}}{\text{2040 POPULATION (\#)}} \right) \times \text{GROWTH POPULATION (\#)} = \text{PERCENTAGE ATTRIBUTABLE TO NEW DEVELOPMENT}$$

Table 2-2: Communication Facilities New Development Shares

Facility	Facility Value at Buildout	Total New Facility Cost	New Development Share
Communication Facility	\$ 8,885,956	\$ 5,216,023	51.23%

Effective January 1, 2023, the 2022 California Energy Code requires electrical solar and battery storage systems on all new City office buildings, including, proposed public police and fire facilities envisioned in this report. These solar costs have been included in the cost estimates for all new facility construction. Solar is not being added to existing buildings.

On February 20, 2018, the City of Tracy and Tracy Rural Fire District (District) entered into the South County Fire Authority Dissolution Agreement. Following the execution of the agreement, the City of Tracy is to disburse to the District the Public Safety Fire Fees attributable to mitigating the impact of new developments' share of the fire facilities located in the District's jurisdictional boundaries. The fire fees generated in the District's Boundaries are to be separated from the City's fees. **Figure 2-3** shows the various jurisdiction areas, the fees collected from the annexed, not detached area shown in light pink represent the areas with fees that will be distributed to Tracy

Rural. The fire stations that are to be owned by Tracy Rural include Fire Station 94 (Cordes Ranch), Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills), Fire Station 99 (Ellis), and the Training Facility. Station 95 was constructed by Tracy Hills, and they are receiving fee credits against their Public Safety Fees. The remaining Tracy Rural Fire Stations will be built by Tracy Rural utilizing the funds received through the Public Safety Impact Fee. Because the fees are a blend of both City of Tracy Fire Stations and Tracy Rural Fire Station, the City will reserve 15% of the fees collected for the construction of Fire Station 97 until the City has collected the total cost attributable to the fee program for this station.

Table 2-3: Public Safety Facilities Costs - Fire

Facility Name	Construction Cost	35% Mark-up ¹	FF&E and Vehicles	Solar ¹	Land Acquisition	Total Project Cost (June 2023\$)	Total Project Cost (Feb 2025\$) ²	Other Funding Sources ³	Remaining Cost	Percent of Remaining Cost Attributable to Fee Program ⁴	Total Cost Attributable to Fee Program	Other Funding Sources to Cover Cost Share of Existing Development
Fire Facilities												
Fire Headquarters Station "A"	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a	\$0	\$0
Fire Station 91	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a	\$0	\$0
Fire Station 92	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a	\$0	\$0
Fire Station 93	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a	\$0	\$0
Fire Station 94 (To be relocated, property owned by S	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a	\$0	\$0
Fire Station 94 (Relocation - Cordes Ranch Area) ⁵	\$6,942,300	\$2,429,805	\$2,600,000	\$620,356	\$500,000	\$13,092,000	\$13,228,515	(\$1,000,000)	\$12,228,515	93.5%	\$11,433,662	\$794,853
Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area) ⁶	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a	\$0	\$0
Fire Station 97 (To be relocated and property sold) ⁷	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$451,350)	(\$456,056)	\$0	(\$456,056)	100.0%	(\$456,056)	\$0
Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico, incl. Ladder Ba	\$6,942,300	\$2,429,805	\$1,000,000	\$620,356	\$500,000	\$11,492,000	\$11,611,831	(\$4,470,000)	\$7,141,831	82.0%	\$5,856,301	\$1,285,530
Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	\$5,427,849	\$1,899,747	\$1,000,000	\$561,813	\$233,012	\$9,122,000	\$9,217,118	(\$825,332)	\$8,391,786	93.5%	\$7,846,320	\$545,466
Fire Training Facility - Phase 2 & 3 ⁹	\$6,793,881	\$2,377,858	\$500,000	\$692,252	\$0	\$10,364,000	\$10,472,069	(\$2,600,000)	\$7,872,069	90.0%	\$7,084,862	\$787,207
Total Fire Facilities	\$26,106,330	\$9,137,215	\$5,100,000	\$2,494,777	\$1,233,012	\$43,618,650	\$44,073,477	(\$8,895,332)	\$35,178,145	-	\$31,765,089	\$3,413,056

Source: City of Tracy Public Safety Master Plan, prepared by Indigo and Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP (June 2023).

¹ Mark-up includes: 10% Design and Planning, 10% Construction Maintenance, 15% General Contingency. Solar Costs include mark-ups.

² The Total Project Costs on construction projects are escalated to current dollars using the San Francisco ENR CCI.

³ Based on the City of Tracy Public Safety Master Plan, prepared by Indigo and Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP (June 2023).

⁴ Based on the percentages from the 2019 AB 1600 Development Impact Fee Update (Harris & Associates) and updated to reflect development changes and agreements with Tracy Rural Fire.

⁵ \$1,000,000 of City's obligation is coming from the fire engine relocation from existing Fire Station.

⁶ Fire Station 95 completed and accepted by the City February 15, 2022. Tracy Hills received \$6,790,794 in credits. City purchased apparatus for the fire station.

⁷ The Master Plan proposes that the City sell the decommissioned property once the replacement station has been built.

⁸ For the relocation of Fire Station 97, the City Core Area contributes to the cost of the fire station and also the City is contributing \$1,000,000 in the form of the fire engine relocation.

⁹ The Fire Training Facility other funding sources include funding from a ARPA grant.

Table 2-4: Public Safety Facilities Costs – Police and Communication Facilities

Facility Name	Construction Cost	35% Mark-up ¹	FF&E and Vehicles	Solar ¹	Land Acquisition	Total Project Cost (June 2023\$)	Total Project Cost (Feb 2025\$) ²	Other Funding Sources ³	Remaining Cost	Percent of Remaining Cost Attributable to Fee Program ⁴	Total Cost Attributable to Fee Program	Other Funding Sources to Cover Cost Share of Existing Development
Police Facilities												
Police Dept Remodel & Addition (Civic Center Site)	\$23,314,584	\$8,160,104	\$2,160,114	\$2,123,317	\$0	\$35,758,000	\$36,130,862	\$ (2,298,891)	\$33,831,971	59.11%	\$19,998,078	\$13,833,893
South Tracy Police Substation	\$9,479,695	\$3,317,893	\$648,049	\$704,235	\$1,760,000	\$15,910,000	\$16,075,899	\$0	\$16,075,899	59.11%	\$9,502,464	\$6,573,435
Police Dept Training Facility ⁵	\$4,749,442	\$1,662,305	\$36,098	\$1,033,927	\$0	\$7,482,000	\$7,560,018	\$0	\$7,560,018	61.72%	\$4,666,043	\$2,893,975
Police Dept Animal Shelter (Phase II)	\$5,044,104	\$1,765,436	\$1,000,000	\$472,114	\$0	\$8,282,000	\$8,368,359	\$0	\$8,368,359	79.47%	\$6,650,335	\$1,718,024
Subtotal Police Facilities	\$42,587,825	\$14,905,738	\$3,844,261	\$4,333,593	\$1,760,000	\$67,432,000	\$68,135,138	(\$2,298,891)	\$65,836,247		\$40,816,920	\$25,019,327
Communication Facilities												
Radio Communications Tower	\$862,240	\$301,784	\$4,067,185	\$0	\$125,000	\$5,356,000	\$5,411,849	\$ (195,826)	\$5,216,023	51.23%	\$2,672,169	\$2,543,854
Subtotal Communications Facilities	\$862,240	\$301,784	\$4,067,185	\$0	\$125,000	\$5,356,000	\$5,411,849	\$ (195,826)	\$5,216,023		\$2,672,169	\$2,543,854

Source: City of Tracy Public Safety Master Plan, prepared by Indigo and Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP (June 2023).

¹ Mark-up includes: 10% Design and Planning, 10% Construction Maintenance, 15% General Contingency. Solar Costs include mark-ups.


² The Total Project Costs on construction projects are escalated to current dollars using the San Francisco ENR CCI.

³ Includes contributions from Core Fees (August 2021) escalated to current dollars using the San Francisco ENR CCI (rounded to nearest dollar) and estimated Ellis contribution.

⁴ Remodel costs are not attributable to new development which includes \$8,284,800 for the Police Remodel & \$137,760 for the Police Training Remodel (plus mark-ups) and were removed from the costs.

⁵ Land costs were removed due to the City already owning the land.

Figure 2-3– Tracy Rural Boundaries



This page intentionally left blank.

FEE METHODOLOGY

The Public Safety Fee uses the Planned Facilities Method to calculate the three fee components that make up the total fee. As defined in the California Department of Housing and Community Development Impact Fee Nexus Study Template, the Planned Facilities Method “estimates the costs of future facilities needed to serve new development based on a long-range expenditure plan for these future facility costs.” The Public Safety Fee is based on new development’s fair share of the facilities identified as needed in the Public Safety Master Plan.

Each component of the Public Safety Fee is calculated based on the cost per equivalent dwelling unit (EDU). An EDU factor is used to equate each land use to that of a single-family home. The EDU factor is calculated based on the density factor for each residential and non-residential land use. Density is measured as "persons per dwelling unit" for residential uses or "square feet per worker" for nonresidential uses. The following steps are used to calculate the EDU Factor for each land use.

1. The residential units and non-residential square feet are multiplied by the density to calculate the resident and worker projections.
2. The resident equivalents for non-residential land uses are calculated by multiplying the employee projections by 0.5.
3. The EDUs are then calculated by dividing the resident equivalents by the Single-Family Residential density (3.50).
4. Finally, the EDU factor is calculated by dividing each land uses EDUs by the residential units or nonresidential square feet in that category.

Fire Facilities

The EDU calculations for the Fire Facilities are shown in **Table 2-5** and are based on buildout population projections for the City as shown in **Table 1-1**.

Table 2-5: Equivalent Dwelling Units – Fire Facilities

Land Use	Units / Building SF ¹	Density ²	Resident/ Employee Projection	Resident Equivalent ³	EDUs	EDU Factor
Residential						
Single Family Residential	15,539	3.50	54,387	54,387	15,539	1.00
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	875	2.80	2,450	2,450	700	0.80
High Density Residential (Attached 4+)	3,871	2.60	10,065	10,065	2,876	0.74
Subtotal Residential	20,285		66,902	66,902	19,115	
Non-Residential						
Office	4,952,249	300	16,507	8,254	2,358	0.48
Commercial	12,225,611	500	24,451	12,226	3,493	0.29
Industrial	70,832,851	1,500	47,222	23,611	6,746	0.095
Subtotal Non-Residential	88,010,711		88,180	44,091	12,597	
Total				110,993	31,712	

¹ Based on projected land use at Buildout of the City based on TAZ data. Updated to remove developments that have pulled permits as of 05/20/2025.

² Density is measured as "persons per dwelling unit" for residential land uses and "square feet per worker" for non-residential land uses.

³ Workers are weighted at 0.5 that of a resident. Resident Equivalents for Non-Residential is rounded to nearest whole number.

The cost per EDU for the fire fee component is calculated by taking the costs attributable to new development, subtracting the fund balance and expenditures, adding outstanding credits, subtracting deferred fees and dividing by the total number of EDUs. The cost per EDU calculations are shown in **Table 2-6**

Table 2-6: Fire Cost per EDU

Fee Component	Cost Attributable to Fee Program ¹	Expenditures to Date ²	Fund Balance ³	Outstanding Credits/ Reimb. ⁴	Cordes Ranch Deferred Payment ⁵	Remaining Cost to Fund	Total EDUs	Cost/EDU ⁶
Fire	\$31,765,089	(\$331,361)	(\$32,302)	\$7,225,007	(\$122,190)	\$38,504,243	31,712	\$1,214
Total	\$31,765,089	(\$331,361)	(\$32,302)	\$7,225,007	(\$122,190)	\$38,504,243		\$1,214

¹ Total may be slightly different than the Master Plan due to rounding.

² Includes Fire Training tower expenses spent to date. Any police and Communication expenditures are part of existing development's share.

³ Fund balance as of 05/20/2025. Police and Communication Facility fund balances not included as those go towards existing development's share.

⁴ Credit/reimbursements as of 05/20/2025.

⁵ Cordes Ranch deferred fees per DA Section 6.3C (09/17/2013). Does not include PM.

⁶ Rounded to the nearest dollar.

Police and Communication Facilities

The EDU calculations for the Police and Communication Facilities are shown in **Table 2-7** and are based on the 2040 population projections for the City as shown in **Table 1-1**.

Table 2-7: Equivalent Dwelling Units – Police and Communication Tower

Land Use	Units / Building SF ¹	Density ²	Resident/ Employee Projection	Resident Equivalent ³	EDUs	EDU Factor
Residential						
Single Family Residential	8,239	3.50	28,837	28,837	8,239	1.00
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	319	2.80	893	893	255	0.80
High Density Residential (Attached 4+)	3,097	2.60	8,052	8,052	2,301	0.74
Subtotal Residential	11,655		37,782	37,782	10,795	
Non-Residential						
Office	3,079,804	300	10,266	5,133	1,467	0.48
Commercial	7,603,107	500	15,206	7,603	2,172	0.29
Industrial	44,050,950	1,500	29,367	14,684	4,195	0.095
Subtotal Non-Residential	54,733,861		54,839	27,420	7,834	
Total				65,202	18,629	

¹ Residential based on projected land use at 2040. Non-residential based on projected land use at 2040 adjusted to reflect employee growth assumptions tied to population growth trends from 2040 to buildout. Updated to remove developments that have pulled permits as of 05/20/2025.

² Density is measured as "persons per dwelling unit" for residential land uses and "square feet per worker" for non-residential land uses.

³ Workers are weighted at 0.5 that of a resident. Resident Equivalents for Non-Residential is rounded to nearest whole number.

The cost per EDU for each fee component is calculated by taking the costs attributable to new development, adding outstanding credits, subtracting deferred fees and dividing by the total number of EDUs. The cost per EDU calculations are shown in **Table 2-8**.

Table 2-8: Police and Communication Cost per EDU

Fee Component	Cost Attributable to Fee Program ¹	Outstanding Credits/ Reimb. ²	Cordes Ranch Deferred Payment ³	Remaining Cost to Fund	Total EDUs	Cost/EDU ⁴
Police	\$40,816,920	(\$1,161,424)	(\$191,361)	\$39,464,135	18,629	\$2,118
Communication Facilities	\$2,672,169	(\$370,842)	(\$66,397)	\$2,234,930	18,629	\$120
Total	\$43,489,089	(\$1,532,266)	(\$257,758)	\$41,699,065		\$2,238

¹ Total may be slightly different than the Master Plan due to rounding.

² Credit/reimbursements as of 05/20/2025.

³ Cordes Ranch deferred fees per DA Section 6.3C (09/17/2013). Does not include PM.

⁴ Rounded to the nearest dollar.

FEE SUMMARY

The Public Safety Fee is converted into a fee per square foot for residential land uses by multiplying the EDU factors (**Table 2-5** and **Table 2-7**) by the cost per EDUs (**Table 2-6** and **Table 2-8**) and then dividing by the average unit size of each land use in the City. The Public Safety Fees are converted into fee per 1,000 building square feet for non-residential land uses by multiplying the EDU factors (**Table 2-5** and **Table 2-7**) by the costs per EDU for each non-residential land use (**Table 2-6** and **Table 2-8**). **Table 2-9** summarizes the Public Safety Fees by the three components that make up the fee. The fees due to Tracy Rural Fire District for the Public Safety Fire Fee component must be tracked separately in compliance with the Dissolution Agreement mentioned earlier in this report.

Table 2-9: Public Safety Fees

Land Use	EDU Factor	Fire Fee	Police Fee	Communication Facilities Fee	Total Fee	Average Size ¹	Fire Fee	Police Fee	Communication Facilities Fee	Total Fee
Residential ²		<i>(per Unit)</i>					<i>(per SF)</i> ⁴			
Single Family Residential	1.00	\$1,214	\$2,118	\$120	\$3,452	2,500	\$0.49	\$0.85	\$0.05	\$1.39
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	0.80	\$971	\$1,694	\$96	\$2,761	1,500	\$0.65	\$1.13	\$0.06	\$1.84
High Density Residential (Attached 4+)	0.74	\$898	\$1,567	\$89	\$2,554	950	\$0.95	\$1.65	\$0.09	\$2.69
Non-Residential ³		<i>(per 1,000 Bldg SF)</i>					<i>(per 1,000 Bldg SF)</i> ⁴			
Office	0.48	\$583	\$1,017	\$58	\$1,658	n/a	\$583	\$1,017	\$58	\$1,658
Commercial	0.29	\$352	\$614	\$35	\$1,001	n/a	\$352	\$614	\$35	\$1,001
Industrial	0.095	\$115	\$201	\$11	\$327	n/a	\$115	\$201	\$11	\$327

¹ Average size based on the average unit size of planned new development in the City of Tracy.

² The fee for residential will be applied to the livable square footage.

³ The fee for non-residential will be applied to the building square footage.

⁴ Residential fee rounded to nearest hundredth. Non-Residential fee rounded to the nearest dollar.

This page intentionally left blank.

FEE COMPARISON

Table 2-10 compares the proposed fee for public safety to the existing fee (as of July 1, 2024). The existing per residential unit fee was converted to a fee per square foot using the same average unit sizes as the proposed fees. The total Public Safety Fee is increasing due to the following reasons.

1. The estimated construction costs for facilities have been reassessed to better align with current market conditions and escalated construction costs. In addition, effective January 1, 2023, the 2022 California Energy Code requires electrical solar and battery storage systems on all **new** City office buildings, including, by and large, the proposed public safety facilities envisioned in this report. These solar costs have been included in the cost estimates for all new Public Safety facilities.
2. Facilities have been re-assessed as part of the master plan process and the updated facilities have been incorporated into the fee study. This included reducing the size of the main police station but adding a South Tracy Police Substation. In addition, phase II of an animal shelter is now included as well as separate police and fire training facilities. These facilities are necessary to meet the demands of new development.
3. The Communication Facilities Fee is decreasing due to the fact that the anticipated cost of the facility has not risen at the same rate as the ENR CCI for San Francisco that has been applied to the fees annually. In addition, a public safety center and Emergency Operations Center that were in the prior master plan is not included within this fee as the needs are being met by other facilities within the police category. This resulted in increases for the police fee category and a decrease in the communications fee.
4. Population assumptions have been updated from the previous master plan to reflect new census data that reflects an increase in the number of people residing in each unit. Because public safety impacts are the direct result of people, an increase in the estimated persons per household corresponds with an increasing fee.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 2-10: Public Safety – Fee Comparison

Land Use	Fire			Police			Communication Facilities			Total Public Safety Fee		
	Proposed Fee	Existing Fee ¹	Percent Change	Proposed Fee	Existing Fee ¹	Percent Change	Proposed Fee	Existing Fee ¹	Percent Change	Proposed Fee	Existing Fee ¹	Percent Change
Residential (per SF)												
Single Family Residential	\$0.49	\$0.32	54%	\$0.85	\$0.39	120%	\$0.05	\$0.13	-62%	\$1.39	\$0.83	67%
Multi-Family Residential (Attached 2-4)	\$0.65	\$0.43	50%	\$1.13	\$0.53	115%	\$0.06	\$0.18	-66%	\$1.84	\$1.14	62%
High Density Residential (Attached 4+)	\$0.95	\$0.56	70%	\$1.65	\$0.68	144%	\$0.09	\$0.23	-61%	\$2.69	\$1.46	84%
Non-Residential (per 1,000 Bldg SF)												
Office	\$583.00	\$402.04	45%	\$1,017.00	\$487.16	109%	\$58.00	\$164.71	-65%	\$1,658.00	\$1,053.91	57%
Commercial	\$352.00	\$241.22	46%	\$614.00	\$292.31	110%	\$35.00	\$98.83	-65%	\$1,001.00	\$632.36	58%
Industrial	\$115.00	\$80.41	43%	\$201.00	\$97.45	106%	\$11.00	\$32.94	-67%	\$327.00	\$210.80	55%

¹ As of July 1, 2024

This page intentionally left blank.

EXISTING AND PROPOSED LEVEL OF SERVICE

AB 602 states, “When applicable, the nexus study shall identify the existing level of service for each public facility, identify the proposed new level of service and include an explanation of why the new level of service is appropriate.”

Table 2-11 compare the fire facilities square foot per capita in 2025 with buildout square foot per capita. As shown, the level of service that is proposed to serve the population at buildout (0.41) does not exceed the level of service in 2025 (0.45). It should be noted that not all of the costs associated with the expansions are funded through the fee program, therefore, this analysis is conservative in regards to showing that the fee program is not expanding the level of service.

Table 2-11: Fire Existing and Proposed Level of Service

Fire Facilities	2025 Square Feet	Buildout Square Feet
Fire Administration Building	9,646	9,646
Fire Station 91	7,401	7,401
Fire Station 92	5,136	5,100
Fire Station 93	6,147	6,147
Fire Station 94 (relocation)	5,552	7,750
Fire Station 95	7,100	7,100
Fire Station 97 (relocation)	3,009	7,750
Fire Station 99	-	7,070
Fire Training Facility	-	9,900
<i>Total Building Square Feet</i>	<i>43,991</i>	<i>67,864</i>
<i>Population (not including workers)</i>	<i>98,601</i>	<i>164,935</i>
Fire Square Feet/Capita	0.45	0.41

For the Police and Communication Facilities, this nexus study analyzes the new facilities that are needed to serve the City in 2040 and calculates the percentage attributable to new development by taking the ratio of the size of the needed facility space in 2040 divided by 2040 population multiplied by the population growth and then divided by the size of the new facility at buildout. The percentage attributable to new development is then applied to the costs of the facilities. This methodology conservatively ensures that new development is only funding their proportionate share of the total facilities and is not expected to mitigate any existing deficiencies or increase the level of service at the expense of new development.

Table 2-12 identifies the existing square foot per capita of Police Facilities space compared to the square foot per capita at the Horizon Year 2040. This analysis includes the leased evidence space as that space is part of the facilities currently serving the existing population and is part of critical operations of the police department. This use was previously located at the City’s corporation

yard, until they ran out of space and moved to 16,759 square feet of leased space in 2019. The facility is outfitted with evidence lockers, 3 large evidence vaults, a drying room, a property release room, space for evidence vehicles, and a car lift. As part of the police station expansion, it is important that this space be moved to the main police building.

Table 2-12: Police Existing and Proposed Level of Service

Police Facilities	2025 Square Feet	2040 Square Feet
Police Department	27,616	47,416
Police Station (old)	9,800	-
South Tracy Police Station	-	8,800
PD North Annex ¹	16,759	
Training Facility	7,792	15,196
Animal Shelter (2015 - grant funded)	9,692	15,592
<i>Total Building Square Feet</i>	71,659	87,004
<i>Population (not including workers)</i>	98,601	141,000
Police Square Feet/Capita	0.73	0.62

¹ Space is leased however is included in the existing level of service as this provides critical space and is part of the City's current operations.

Due to the nature of Communication Facilities, existing and proposed level of service cannot be tied to square foot per capita as Fire and Police facilities are able to. However, to ensure that new development is paying their fair share of the facility, the percent attributable to new development is calculated by taking the ratio of the value of the needed facility space in 2040 divided by 2040 population multiplied by the population growth and then divided by the value of the new facility at buildout. The percentage attributable to new development is then applied to the costs of the facilities. This methodology conservatively ensures that new development is only funding their proportionate share of the total facilities and is not expected to mitigate any existing deficiencies or increase the level of service at the expense of new development. This proportionate split is detailed further within the Cost Summary & CIP section of this report.

NEXUS REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

AB 1600 requires that public agencies satisfy five requirements when establishing, increasing, or imposing a fee as a condition of approval of a development project. The required findings are as follows.

Requirement 1: Identify the purpose of the fee.

The purpose of the Public Safety Fee is to fund additional fire, police, and communication facilities that are needed to serve new development in the City. Each new resident and worker generates the

need for the City to expand their facilities in order to provide adequate response times, hire and house new public safety personnel, and improve the City's emergency communication facilities. In order to accommodate these needs, new facilities will be built, or existing facilities will be expanded as shown within **Table 2-3** and **Table 2-4**.

Requirement 2: Identify the use of the fee.

The Public Safety Fee will be used to fund the public safety facilities including fire, police, and communication facilities summarized in **Table 2-3** and **Table 2-4**. These projects were identified in the Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update, prepared by Indigo | Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP (December 2023) as facilities required to mitigate the impact of new development in the TIMP Fee program area.

Requirement 3: Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

The Public Safety Fee will be used to fund public safety projects consisting of new fire, police, and communication facilities necessary to serve the increased residents and workers in the City as shown in **Table 2-5** and **Table 2-7**. The Public Safety Fee is calculated based on the estimated number of new residents and workers that are generated by each new development. Workers are weighted at a lower weight than residents to reflect their lesser impact on the facilities. This weighting is calculated as resident equivalents and each component of the Public Safety Fee uses the resident equivalents to calculate an EDU factor to equate each land use to that of a single-family home. The EDU factor is calculated based on the density factor for each residential and non-residential land use. Density is measured as "persons per dwelling unit" for residential uses or "square feet per worker" for nonresidential uses. The cost per EDU is calculated and spread to each land use based on the EDU equivalent methodology ensuring a reasonable relationship between the fees use and the type of development project. These calculations are shown in **Table 2-6**, **Table 2-8**, and **Table 2-9**.

Requirement 4: Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

Each new development is anticipated to generate either new residents or workers. The addition of these new residents and workers directly creates the need for additional public safety facilities for fire, police, and communication facilities, which are necessary in order to maintain the required level of service. The fees are based on the number of resident equivalents each new development is expected to generate, thus ensuring that the need for the facility is directly related to a particular development's impact. New workers generate a smaller demand than a resident and thus one worker is considered, on average, as equivalent to 0.5 times that of a resident. The relationship between the need for the facility and the type of development project is shown in **Table 2-6**, **Table 2-8**, and **Table 2-9**.

Requirement 5: Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fee and the cost of the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which the fee is imposed.

The Public Safety Fees will provide the funding for the required public safety facilities for fire, police, and communication facilities. These facilities and costs are summarized in **Table 2-3** and **Table 2-4**. The percentage attributable to new development is analyzed and described in detail in the Cost Summary and CIP section of the report, ensuring that new development does not pay more than their fair share of the facilities. The costs are spread to each land use based on the number of new resident equivalents that the land use will generate calculated as an EDU factor as shown in **Table 2-5** and **Table 2-7**. The fees per EDU are calculated in **Table 2-6** and **Table 2-8** are then converted into fees for each land use in **Table 2-9**. By spreading the costs attributable to the Public Safety fee program based on the EDU factors, each new development is only paying for their fair share of the required facilities since the need for the facilities directly correlates to the addition of new residents and worker equivalents.

Section 3 IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION

IMPLEMENTATION

According to the California Government Code, prior to levying a new fee or increasing an existing fee, an agency must hold at least one open and public meeting with at least 30 days' notice. In addition, notice of the time and place of the meeting, including a general explanation of the matter to be considered, and a statement that the data required by this section is available, shall be mailed at least 14 days prior to the meeting to any interested party who files a written request with the local agency for mailed notice of the meeting on new or increased fees or service charges. Any written request for mailed notices shall be valid for one year from the date on which it is filed unless a renewal request is filed. At least ten days prior to this meeting, the agency must make data on infrastructure costs and funding sources available to the public. Notice of the time and place of the meeting and a general explanation of the matter are to be published in accordance with Section 6062a of the Government Code, which states that publication of notice shall occur for ten days in a newspaper regularly published once a week or more. Two publications, with at least five days intervening between the dates of first and last publication not counting such publication dates, are sufficient. The period of notice commences upon the first day of publication and terminates at the end of the tenth day, including therein the first day. The new or increased fees shall be effective no earlier than 60 days following the final action on the adoption or increase of the fees. Following adoption of the fees, the fees and supporting information must be placed on the City's website.

FEE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The Government Code requires the City to report every year and every fifth-year certain financial information regarding the fees. The City must make available within 180 days after the last day of each fiscal year the following information from the prior fiscal year:

1. A brief description of the type of fee in the account or fund.
2. The amount of the fee.
3. The beginning and ending balance in the account or fund.
4. The amount of the fee collected and the interest earned.
5. An identification of each public improvement for which fees were expended and the amount of expenditures.
6. An identification of an approximate date by which time construction on the improvement will commence if it is determined that sufficient funds exist to complete the project.
7. An identification of each improvement identified pursuant to requirement #6 listed on a previous report and whether construction began on the approximate date noted within that report. If construction did not commence by the approximate date provided in the previous report, identify the reason for the delay and a revised approximate commencement date.

8. A description of each interfund transfer or loan made from the account and when it will be repaid.
9. Identification of any refunds made and the number of persons or entities identified to receive those refunds once it is determined that sufficient monies have been collected to fund all fee related projects.

On October 11, 2023, California Governor Gavin Newsom signed into law AB 516 which amended certain portions of the Mitigation Fee Act related to the annual and five-year reporting requirements. Under AB 516, Requirements 6 and 8 have been expanded to include the following:

- 6a. Identification of each public improvement identified in the previous report and whether construction began on the approximate date noted.
- 6b. For previously identified projects that did not start construction on the approximate date in the previous report, the reason for the delay and a revised approximate date that the local agency will commence construction, if applicable.
- 6c. For any refunds made, the number of persons or entities identified to receive those refunds.

The City must make this information available for public review and must also present it at the next regularly scheduled public meeting not less than 15 days after this information is made available to the public.

For the fifth fiscal year following the first deposit into the account or fund, and every five years thereafter, the City must make the following findings with respect to any remaining funds in the fee account, regardless of whether those funds are committed or uncommitted:

1. Identify the purpose to which the fee is to be put.
2. Demonstrate a reasonable relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged.
3. Identify all sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing any incomplete improvements.
4. Designate the approximate dates on which funding in item (3) above is expected to be deposited into the fee account.

As with the annual disclosure, the five-year report must be made public within 180 days after the end of the City's fiscal year and must be reviewed at the next regularly scheduled public meeting.

FEE ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURES

The Public Safety Fee may be adjusted periodically to reflect revised facility requirements, receipt of funding from alternative sources (i.e., state or federal grants), revised facilities or costs, changes

in demographics, changes in the average unit square footage, or changes in the land use plan. In addition, the fees will be automatically updated each year on July 1st based on the June ENR CCI for San Francisco. Due to the timing of when the fees will take into effect, the next fee adjustment will occur July 2026.

TIMING OF FEE PAYMENT

The Public Safety Fee will be collected at the time the building permit for the project is issued. All residential projects will pay a fee based on the livable square footage of the residential units. For residential projects that include multiple buildings, fees will be due at the time the building permit is issued for each building. The non-residential communal portion for high-density residential projects (i.e., clubhouse, maintenance facility, gym, etc.) will not be assessed impact fees as the impact is considered to be captured in the residential fees. Areas that are accessible by the public (i.e., a leasing office) will be charged impact fees according to use.

DESIGNATED RESIDENTIAL PROJECTS DEFERRED FEE PAYMENTS

California Senate Bill 937 (SB 937), which became effective on January 1, 2025, significantly delays the collection of fees for residential projects defined as “Designated Residential Development Projects.” Specifically, SB 937 states that public agencies may not impose development impact fees on Designated Residential Development Projects until the project receives a Certificate of Occupancy or Temporary Certificate of Occupancy. Furthermore, local agencies may not charge interest on the delayed fee payments for such projects; rather, the fees must reflect the fee amount in place at the time the project’s building permits are issued. In addition, the bill extends housing entitlements by 24 months for projects with entitlements issued prior to January 1, 2024 and set to expire on or before December 21, 2025.

SB 937 was designed to incentivize housing production by mitigating the effects of rising construction costs and interest rates, which hinder the financial feasibility of new housing projects. By deferring fee payments with zero interest, SB 937 can help to incentivize housing developers, who must demonstrate financial feasibility to investors and lending institutions before receiving necessary funding. Additionally, by extending entitlements, the bill allows developers more time to raise funding before constructing the project. By providing these incentives to developers, the bill strives to increase housing production, allowing local jurisdictions to fulfill their housing goals.

Housing projects must meet one of the following conditions to be considered a Designated Residential Development Project:

1. 100% of residential units (excluding the manager’s unit) are reserved for low-income households.
2. The project meets the requirements regarding a Low Barrier Navigation Center Developments, per Government Code Section 65662.

3. The project is approved by a local government and meets all site-specific criteria, affordability criteria, and objective development standards pertaining to affordable housing developments located in commercial zones or mixed-income housing developments along commercial corridors, as specified by Article 2 (commencing with Section 65912.110) or Article 3 (commencing with Section 65912.120) of Chapter 4.1 of the Government Code.
4. The project is subject to a streamlined ministerial approval process, per Government Code Section 65913.4.
5. The project meets the criteria specified in the Affordable Housing on Faith and Higher Education Lands Act of 2023 (SB4)
6. The project is entitled to a Density Bonus, per Government Code Section 65915.
7. The project features 10 or fewer units.

Although fees are deferred for Designated Residential Development Projects until the project receives a Certificate of Occupancy or Temporary Certificate of Occupancy, it is important to note that public agencies may still collect utility service fees after receiving an application for utility services. In addition, developers may be required to pay development impact fees prior to the Certificate of Occupancy if construction does not commence within five years of the building permit issue date.

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

The City, with assistance from consultants, oversees the implementation and administration of the TIMP Fee Program, consistent with the requirements of the Mitigation Fee Act. For all TIMP fees, a Program Management Fee is added to fund the costs of City's management and ongoing fee program administration, collection, and reporting. This includes costs associated with City staff and consultant time, studies, and administration to support the program. Industry standard ranges from three to six percent (3-6%) for the administrative component of a development fee program. The City has historically collected five percent (5%) for administrative costs on each fee, which was previously included as a soft cost mark-up. Administratively, the five percent (5%) charge per fee was difficult to account for throughout the numerous fee funds.

Thus, a separate Program Management Fee will be collected in a separate fund for ease of administration. Additional information regarding the Program Management Fee can be found in the Program Management Impact Fee Nexus Study, prepared by Harris & Associates (March 2024). The administrative functions of the Program Management Fund include, but is not limited to, the following:

- Annual fee adjustments
- Annual fee reporting
- Additional fee reporting every five years
- Application and tracking of fee credits and reimbursements

- Posting of nexus studies and fee schedules on the City’s website
- Periodic nexus study updates
- Staff and consultant time related to fee preparation, collection, tracking, and administration

CREDITS AND REIMBURSEMENT POLICIES

Fee credits and reimbursements may be allowed for developer-constructed facilities in compliance with Title 13 of the Tracy Municipal code and other applicable polices. Credits and reimbursements shall be calculated specifically for each project as applicable. It should be noted that per Section 13.08.020 (e) of the Tracy Municipal Code “The Subdivider shall not be entitled to any credit for program implementation or contingencies.”

PROGRAMMING REVENUES WITH THE CIP

The City should maintain its Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to adequately plan for future infrastructure needs. The CIP should commit all projected fee revenues and fund balances to specific projects that are necessary to serve growth. The CIP provides documentation necessary for the City to hold funds in a project account for longer than five years if necessary to collect sufficient funds to complete a project. This report outlines the projects that are to be funded with the fee program and forms the basis of the CIP, as identified in the Cost Summary and CIP section above, **Table 2-3** and **Table 2-4**,.

FEE REPORTING

Assembly Bill No. 1483, which became effective January 1, 2020, requires that public agencies make the following information available on their website. The following information must be provided:

1. A current schedule of fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the city, county, or special district, including any dependent special districts, of the city or county applicable to a proposed housing development project, which shall be presented in a manner that clearly identifies the fees, exactions, and affordability requirements that apply to each parcel.
2. All zoning ordinances and development standards, which shall specify the zoning, design, and development standards that apply to each parcel.
3. The list of information required to be compiled pursuant to Section 65940.
4. The current and five previous annual fee reports or the current and five previous annual financial reports, that were required pursuant to AB 1600.
5. An archive of impact fee nexus studies, cost of service studies, or equivalent, conducted by the city, county, or special district on or after January 1, 2018.

Any updates to the above information must be available within 30 days.

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS

An accessory dwelling unit (ADU) is a second unit that is attached or detached from a single-family home. In accordance with Assembly Bill No. 881 approved on October 9, 2019, TIMP fees will not be charged for an ADU that is less than 750 square feet. For an ADU that is 750 square feet or larger, the ADU will be charged proportionately in relation to the square footage of the primary dwelling unit. Since the TIMP residential fees are now being charged on a square footage basis, ADU fees will be calculated by multiplying the TIMP Single Family Residential fee by the ADU's square footage.

SPECIALIZED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

The fees in this report may not apply to specialized development projects such as golf courses, cemeteries, sports stadium, truck lots, or other specialized land uses. For specialized development projects the City will review the development's impacts to determine the applicable fees. The fee rates presented in this Nexus Study may be reduced, exempted, or waived under certain circumstances as determined by the City. Any exemption or reduction in fees will be based on the City's independent analysis and review of the subject property. In addition, specialized land uses may be calculated utilizing the cost per EDU applied to the number of EDUs of the specialized land use as determined by the City's independent analysis.

Some developments may include more than one land use type. In these cases, the fee is calculated separately for each land use. The City has the discretion to impose the fees based on the specific aspects of a proposed development regardless of zoning. The fee imposed should be based on the land use type that most closely represents the impacts of the development.

**Section 4 APPENDIX: TRACY CITYWIDE PUBLIC
SAFETY MASTER PLAN UPDATE
(DECEMBER 2023)**

This page intentionally left blank.

Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update

City of Tracy, California

12/4/2023

Indigo | Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP

Bruce Playle, AIA Prescott Nichols, AIA



PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Citywide Public Safety Master Plan is a City of Tracy document. It has been prepared by INDIGO | Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP, in coordination with City leaders, staff and consultants listed here.

CITY COUNCIL

Nancy Young, Mayor

Eleassia Davis, Mayor Pro Tempore

Dan Arriola, Council Member

Matt Bedolla, Council Member

Dan Evans, Council Member

CITY STAFF

Sekou Millington, Chief of Police

Alex Neicu, Police Captain

Luis Mejia, Police Captain

Miguel Contreras, Police Lieutenant

Midori Lichtwardt, Interim City Manager

Koosun Kim, Assistant Director of Development Services/ City Engineer

Veronica Child, Management Analyst II – Development Services

Ilene Macintire, Senior Civil Engineer – Utilities Department

MASTER PLANNING

Indigo | Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP

909 5th Street, Davis CA 95616

Bruce Playle, Principal

Prescott Nichols, Project Manager

PROGRAM ANALYSIS

Greg Barker, AIA

120 Cerro Romauldo Ave, San Luis Obispo CA 93405

COST ANALYSIS

Bay Area Economics

803 2nd Street, Suite A, Davis CA 95616

Matt Kowta, Principal

SPECIAL THANKS

Alison Bouley, VP, Harris & Associates

Randall Bradley, Fire Chief, SSJCFA

David Bramell, Fire Chief, LMFD

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update - Summary	2
South San Joaquin County Fire Authority	2
Tracy Operating Joint Powers Authority	2
Recommendations	2
Fire Facilities Citywide.....	2
Police Facilities Citywide	3
Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities.....	4
Cost Estimate Summary	5
Cost Attributions	5
Solar Nanogrid Cost Estimate Summary	5
METHODOLOGY	7
EVALUATION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS.....	9
Existing Staff Levels and Space Utilization	9
Evaluation of Existing Facilities	13
SPACE STANDARDS AND FUNCTIONAL FLOW	15
Recommendations for Operational Efficiency	15
Space & Facility Standards	17
STAFF AND SPACE NEED PROJECTIONS	19
Growth Factors & Staff Projection Table	19
Space Projection Tables	20
CITYWIDE PUBLIC SAFETY MASTER PLAN UPDATE	23
Fire Facilities Citywide.....	23
City Fire Administration Building	23
Tracy Rural Fire Protection District	24
2017 Standards of Cover Study.....	25
City Fire Station 97	25
Rural Fire District Station 94	26
South San Joaquin County Fire Authority	27
City Fire Station 91	27
Rural Fire District Station 93	28

City Fire Station 92	28
City Fire Station 96	29
Rural Fire District Station 95	29
City Fire Station 99	30
City Fire Training Facility	31
Police Facilities Citywide	33
1940 Police Building	33
1979 Police Building	33
1996 Police Building	34
1979 Police Building, cont.	35
City Animal Shelter	36
2013 Police Facilities Master Plan	37
2023 Police Facilities Master Plan Update	37
Civic Center Expansion of Police Building	38
Police Substation	39
North Annex Leased Building	42
Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	43
COST	45
Estimate Summary	45
Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	45
Facility Allocations	46
Land Acquisition Value	46
Site Development Cost	46
Building Construction Cost Inflation	46
FUNDING OPTIONS	47
Funding for Costs Attributable to Existing Development	47
Funding for Costs Attributable to New Development	49
DESIGN GUIDELINES	51
General Plan Land Use Guidelines	51
Civil Engineering and Construction Guidelines	51
Streetscape Design Guidelines	52
General Plan Community Character Urban Design Principles	52
Urban Design Guidelines	53

Architectural Design Guidelines	53
Sustainability Measures	54
Extended Survivability.....	55
Extended Survivability Defined	56
Benefits & Relation To Sustainability	57
Workplace Quality Improved	59
Energy-efficiency, LEED and Sustainability	59
Green House Gas Reduction	60
Net Zero-Energy Buildings (ZEB) Definition	60
Facility Design Recommendations	61
APPENDIX A – PUBLIC SAFETY STAFFING PROJECTIONS.....	65
Police Department Staffing Projections.....	65
Fire Department Staffing Projections	67
APPENDIX B – PUBLIC SAFETY SPACE PROJECTIONS.....	69
Police Department Space Projections.....	69
Fire Department Space Projections	77
APPENDIX C – PUBLIC SAFETY SPACE PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION TABLE	79
APPENDIX D – PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY COST ESTIMATE	81
APPENDIX E – PUBLIC SAFETY SOLAR NANOGRID COST ESTIMATE.....	83
APPENDIX F – CIVIC CENTER PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY SITE PLAN	85
APPENDIX G – POLICE SUBSTATION SITE PLAN	87
APPENDIX H – POLICE SUBSTATION CONCEPT FLOOR PLAN	89
APPENDIX J – GROWTH PROJECTION DATA.....	91

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – 2020 Zoning Map	1
Figure 2 – Civic Center Expansion of Police Headquarters	3
Figure 3 - Bird's Eye View of Proposed Public Safety Addition	3
Figure 4 - Proposed Police Substation	4
Figure 5 - Tracy with new 180-foot Transmitter Tower (Silke Communications)	4
Figure 6 - Public Safety Master Plan Cost Estimate Summary	5
Figure 7 - Estimated Solar Nanogrid Cost Summary	6
Figure 9 - City Organizational Chart	7
Figure 9 – 1995 Tracy Rural Fire Station 94, 16502 West Schulte Road (to be relocated)	9
Figure 10 – 1986 Tracy Fire Station 97, 595 West Central Ave (to be relocated)	9
Figure 11 - 1979 Tracy Police Building, 400 East 10 th Street (to be demolished)	10
Figure 12 - Leased North Annex Space, 1325 North MacArthur Drive (to be let go)	10
Figure 13 - Summary of Existing Public Safety Staffing & Space	11
Figure 14 - Existing Public Safety Assessment	14
Figure 12 - Existing Police Department Site	14
Figure 16 – Existing Fire Dept Administration Site	14
Figure 17 – Police Department Relationship Diagram	16
Figure 18 - Public Safety Space Standards	17
Figure 19 - Population and Staffing	19
Figure 20 - Summary of Police Department Space Needs	20
Figure 21 - Summary Fire Department of Space Needs	21
Figure 22 – Existing Space Available and Space Need through Horizon 2040 and Buildout	21
Figure 23 – Map of Existing City Fire Facilities	23
Figure 24 – 1916 Tracy Fire Department Building, 835 Central Avenue (to be upgraded)	24
Figure 25 – Map of Existing Rural Fire District Stations	24
Figure 26 – 1986 Tracy Fire Station 97, 595 West Central Ave (to be relocated w/ expanded ladder truck bay)	25
Figure 27 – Map indicating locations of Proposed City Fire Facilities	26
Figure 28 - 2021 60.5-ft Ladder Truck requires Larger Facility	26
Figure 29 – 1995 Tracy Rural Fire Station 94, 16502 West Schulte Road (to be relocated)	26
Figure 30 – Map indicating approximate location of Relocated Station 94	27
Figure 31 – 2005 Tracy Fire Station 91, 1701 West 11th Street (to remain)	28
Figure 32 – 2006 Fire Station 93, 1400 W. Durham Ferry Road (to remain)	28
Figure 33 – 2014 Fire Station 92, 1035 East Grant Line Road (to remain)	29
Figure 34 – 2014 Tracy Fire Station 96, 1800 West Grant Line Road (to remain)	29
Figure 35 – 2021 Fire Station 95, 7151 Tracy Hills Drive	30
Figure 36 – 2022 Tracy Fire Training Apparatus at NEI Reservoir, 1399 S. Chrisman Rd (under construction)	31
Figure 37 – 1940 Tracy Hall of Justice (decommissioned)	33
Figure 38 - 1979 Tracy Police Building, 400 East 10 th Street (to be demolished)	34
Figure 39 - 1996 Tracy Police Department, 1000 Civic Center Dr (to receive tenant improvement & addition)	34
Figure 40 – Map of Existing Police Facilities	35
Figure 41 – Back of 1979 Tracy Police Building, 400 East 10th Street (to be demolished)	35
Figure 42 – Map indication locations of Proposed Police Facilities	36
Figure 43 - 2015 Tracy Animal Shelter, 2375 Paradise Road (to receive addition)	36
Figure 44 - 2013 Civic Center Master Plan	37
Figure 45 - Bird's Eye View of Proposed Civic Center Expansion of Police Building and Site	38
Figure 46 – Civic Center Expansion of Police Building and Site (at Horizon Year 2040)	39
Figure 47 – Civic Center Expansion of Police Building and Site (at Horizon Year 2040)	40
Figure 48 – Conceptual Floor Plan Option 1 with typical Male & Female locker rooms.	41
Figure 49 – Conceptual Floor Plan Option 2 with an all-gender locker room.	41
Figure 50 - Leased North Annex Space, 1325 North MacArthur Drive (to be let go)	42
Figure 51 - Captain John J. Serpa Memorial Police Training Facility (to be upgraded and expanded)	42
Figure 52 – Tracy with new 180-foot Transmitter Tower (Silke Communications)	43
Figure 53 - Public Safety Master Plan Cost Estimate Summary	45

Figure 54 - Earthquake, Chile	55
Figure 55 – Viscous Damper Retrofit, Kent WA	56
Figure 56 – Code-Prescribed Earthquake-Resistance	56
Figure 57 – Steel Fuse Technology, Stanford & Northeastern Universities	56
Figure 58 – Replaceable steel fuses (in blue) contort to absorb seismic energy.	57
Figure 59 - Table of Extended Emergency Operations	57
Figure 60 – Emergency Generator with Shear Lugs Added to Seismic Skid Mount	58
Figure 61 - Earthquake Protected Police Building with Seismic Dampers & Daylighting, Vacaville, CA	58
Figure 62 - Green House Gas Mandate	59
Figure 63 - Net Zero-Energy Transportation Center, Vacaville, Ca.	61

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Tracy initiated this Citywide Public Safety Master Plan (CPSMP) update to maintain a clear statement of community objectives for public safety, establish a vision of the future, and include strategies to achieve that vision. The CPSMP update promotes a future land use pattern that is consistent with the community's long-range goals.

The information and concepts presented in the CPSMP update are used to guide local decisions regarding public uses of land and the provision of public safety facilities and services. The Plan is long-range in its view and is intended to guide Police Department development in the City through Horizon Year 2040 and to guide Fire Department development through buildout of Tracy's sphere of influence.

This CPSMP update includes evaluation of current conditions; space standards and functional flow; staff and space need projections; alternative facility plans; and comparative cost estimations.

This CPSMP update is intended to be used as a guideline document for the identification of public safety facilities needed to serve future land development projects within the City's Sphere of Influence. This CPSMP update is also a guideline document for the identification of public safety upgrades needed to adapt existing spaces to new or expanded uses. Finally, this CPSMP update serves as a reference document for existing public safety facilities and their functional characteristics. Modifications and




Figure 1 – 2020 Zoning Map

refinement to the public safety master plan represented herein may be considered by the City during the Specific Plan and development review process for new development. Any significant modifications to the elements of this CPSMP update should be approved by the City and will require that a formal "Supplement" be adopted by the City Council. The study area for this CPSMP update is the City's 42 square mile Sphere of Influence area (see Figure 1).

The combination of existing and proposed public safety facilities shall meet the needs to serve the City's Sphere of Influence

area whether under Horizon Year 2040 land use conditions, in the case of the Police, or at buildout per the General Plan, in the case of the Fire Department. At Horizon Year 2040, Tracy will have approximately 141,000 residents. The growth assumptions in this Master Plan are based on data from September 2021, received from the City of Tracy. They don't include the growth assumed in the City of Tracy 6th cycle Housing Element.

Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update - Summary

South San Joaquin County Fire Authority

In 1999, the City Fire Department and the Tracy Rural Fire District consolidated to form the South San Joaquin County Fire Authority (SSJCFA). The SSJCFA was created to provide fire protection services to the entire jurisdictional area of both the corporate City limits and surrounding rural community, including Banta, Lammersville, and Vernalis. Both Tracy Rural and the City of Tracy contract with the SSJCFA to receive fire protection services. The SSJCFA in turn contracts with the City of Tracy to provide employee and administrative services.

Tracy Operating Joint Powers Authority

In 2011, the Tracy Operating Joint Powers Authority (TOJPA) was formed to issue \$13.7 million in public bonds. The bonds were issued to raise \$770,000 to help finance the acquisition and construction of certain public capital improvements, which are intended to consist generally of police range facilities, a new fire station, an animal shelter, a Police CAD/RMS system, remodeling of the City's maintenance service yard including building renovation, way finding signage, park improvements and HVAC system upgrades. The TOJPA has already played a role in the construction of fire stations 92, 95, and 96 in addition to the animal shelter (phase 1), and ongoing training facility upgrades.

Recommendations

This public safety master plan continues to further the purpose of the TOJPA, by implementing the 2017 recommendations of the SSJCFA's Standards of Cover Study, proposing four new fire stations and the expansion of the Fire Training Facility. Further, by consensus of City leadership, this master plan proposes keeping the Police Department in the downtown civic center, adding a proposed substation to the southern service area of the City, and expanding the animal shelter. Lastly, this public safety master plan proposes implementation of the 2020 South San Joaquin County Fire Authority Radio System Overall Assessment's recommendations for short and long term upgrades.

Fire Facilities Citywide

Existing City Fire Department facilities to remain include the following:

- 1916 - 9,700 square foot Fire Administration building on a 0.6 acre site at 835 Central Avenue.
- 2005 - 7,400 square foot Fire Station 91 at 1701 West 11th Street.
- 2014 - 5,100 square foot Fire Station 92 1035 E. Grant Line Road.
- 2014 - 5,100 square foot Fire Station 96 1800 W. Grant Line Road.

New City Fire Department stations and facilities:

- Proposed relocated 7,750 square foot Fire Station 97 on a 2 acre site in the Valpico area. This Station includes an extra 700 square feet for an extended ladder truck bay.
- Proposed 9,900 square foot Fire Training Facility expansion on 5.13 acre site at 1399 S. Chrisman Rd.
- Proposed 7,070 square foot Fire Station 99 on a 0.9 acre site near Ellis.

Existing Rural Fire District Stations to remain include the following:

- 2006 - 6,200 square foot Fire Station 93 at 1400 W. Durham Ferry Road.
- 2021 - 7,100 square foot Fire Station 95 on 0.9 acre site at 7151 Tracy Hills Drive.

New Rural Fire District station:

- Proposed relocated 7,750 square foot Fire Station 94 on a 2 acre site in the Cordes Ranch area.

Police Facilities Citywide

Existing Police Department facilities, citywide, to remain include the following:

- 1996 - 27,600 square foot City of Tracy Police Facility on 2.7 acres at 1000 Civic Center Drive.
- 2015 - 9,700 square foot Animal Shelter on 2.2 acres at 2375 Paradise Road.
- 2,300 square foot Capt. John J. Serpa Memorial Training Facility on 2 acres at 6649 S. Tracy Blvd.

New City Police Department stations and facilities:

- Proposed 5,900 square foot Animal Shelter addition on City-owned land at 2375 Paradise Road.
- Proposed 19,800 square foot Public Safety Facility addition on 4.7 acres of City-owned Civic Center campus land at 1000 Civic Center Drive.
- Proposed 8,800 square foot South Tracy Substation on 2.2 acres to be purchased by City near Ellis, South Linne, and Tracy Hills developments.
- Proposed 12,900 expansion of Capt. John J. Serpa Memorial Training Facility on City-owned land at 6649 S. Tracy Blvd..




Figure 2 – Civic Center Expansion of Police Headquarters

The City's 2023 population is projected to exceed 100,000 people. The Police Department consists of 154 personnel, 99 of those sworn officers, for a ratio of 0.99 to this population.

The population of Tracy is expected to exceed 141,000 by Horizon Year 2040. The department will need to grow to 230 personnel to serve this population, with 152 of those sworn officers, for a ratio of 1.08.



Figure 3 - Bird's Eye View of Proposed Public Safety Addition

This 2023 Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update supports the complete renovation of the 27,600 square foot Police Facility at 1000 Civic Center Drive; and, it further proposes to add on to this facility with a 2-acre expansion of the site and a 2-story addition of 19,784 square feet. The result: a new 47,400 square foot all-in-one Public Safety Center at City Hall on 4.7 acres.

This 2023 Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update also supports the construction of a new 8,800 square foot Police Substation on 2.3 acres to be purchased by City near Ellis, South Linne, and Tracy Hills developments. This new site adds 94 parking spaces, with 74 of those inside a secure enclosure.



Figure 4 - Proposed Police Substation

This Substation along with the Public Safety Center renovation and addition will provide the Police Department with the building and site space it requires to serve the City through Horizon Year 2040.

For training facilities, this master plan supports the Police Department upgrading 900 square feet of the existing 2,300 square foot Capt. John J. Serpa Memorial Training Facility at 6649 S. Tracy Blvd and adding an additional 12,900 square feet of training facilities.

In addition to the above, small office space (approx. 323 SF) will be included for the Police at the proposed Multi-Gen Rec Center. See the concurrent Public Facilities Master Plan update for more information.

Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities

The City shall upgrade its communications infrastructure for P25 radio and microwave voter connectivity. All police and fire facilities to have SCD TAC 16 voted receivers, local radios, and microwave connections. The Police Department headquarters shall receive corresponding transmission upgrades.




Figure 5 – Public Safety Radio Communications Systems Modeling

Cost Estimate Summary

The Public Safety Master Plan carries a total project development cost of approximately \$130.8 million as shown below. Included are estimated 2023 direct construction bid day costs (\$84.2 million), indirect and contingency costs (\$29.5 million), vehicles and furnishings, fixtures, and equipment (FF&E, \$13.9 million), and land acquisition (\$3.7 million). See also Appendix D.

PLACE NAME	2023 Construction Bid Day Cost	35% Indirect & Contingency: 10% Design & Planning; 10% CM; 15% General Contingency	FF&E & Vehicles	Land Acquisition	2023 Total Project Cost
Fire Headquarters Station "A"					
Fire Station 91					
Fire Station 92					
Fire Station 93					
Fire Station 94 (To Be Relocated. Property owned by SCFA.)					
Fire Station 94 (Relocation - Cordes Ranch Area)	\$6,942,300	\$2,429,805	\$2,600,000	\$500,000	\$12,472,000
Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)	\$6,197,192	\$2,169,017	\$874,518	\$192,837	\$9,433,000
Fire Station 96					
Fire Station 97 (To Be Relocated. Sell property.)					(\$451,350)
Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay	\$6,942,300	\$2,429,805	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$10,872,000
Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	\$5,427,849	\$1,899,747	\$1,000,000	\$233,012	\$8,561,000
Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	\$6,793,881	\$2,377,858	\$500,000	\$0	\$9,672,000
SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT	\$32,303,500	\$11,306,200	\$5,974,500	\$1,425,800	\$50,558,700
PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	\$31,599,384	\$11,059,784	\$2,160,114	\$0	\$44,819,000
PD Annex (1979 Police Station to be Demolished)					
PD North Annex (Leased - to be let go)					
South Tracy Police Substation	\$9,479,695	\$3,317,893	\$648,049	\$1,760,000	\$15,206,000
Police Department Training Facility	\$4,887,202	\$1,710,521	\$36,098	\$402,925	\$7,037,000
Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	\$5,044,104	\$1,765,436	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$7,810,000
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT	\$51,010,400	\$17,853,600	\$3,844,300	\$2,162,900	\$74,872,000
Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	\$862,240	\$301,784	\$4,067,185	\$125,000	\$5,356,000
SUBTOTAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	\$862,200	\$301,784	\$4,067,185	\$125,000	\$5,356,000
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES (rounded)	\$84,177,000	\$29,462,000	\$13,886,000	\$3,714,000	\$130,799,000

Figure 6 - Public Safety Master Plan Cost Estimate Summary

Cost Attributions

This study identifies and projects public safety facility needs for the City of Tracy and it estimates the total project costs for these facilities in current dollars. The City is providing a separate study to document the required nexus findings to implement a fee program. This study will establish a nexus between future development in Tracy, the public facilities that will serve those projects, and the impact fees that will fund those facilities. The purpose of this separate, concurrent study is to ensure that a rational nexus exists between future development in the area and the use and need of the proposed infrastructure.

Solar Nanogrid Cost Estimate Summary

Effective January 1, 2023, the 2022 California Energy Code has been mandated by the California Building Standards Commission for all California jurisdictions (including the City of Tracy) to begin enforcement thereof. This forthcoming edition of the energy code requires electrical solar systems on all new City office buildings, including, by and large, the proposed public safety facilities envisioned in this report.

Also, effective January 1, 2023, the 2022 California Energy Code will require battery storage systems for these building types. The storage of electrical energy allows for the use of electricity from the battery during high peak period demands for electricity, the time when such delivered energy is the most expensive. This, of course, will reduce the cost of energy consumption even further.

This combination of solar and battery storage scaled to individual buildings is referred to as a “Solar Nanogrid.” Providing Solar Nanogrids for all proposed new public safety facilities and additions will cost an estimated \$6.8 million per Figure 8 (below). The attributable costs, if this CEC measure is adopted, will be incorporated into the City’s concurrent nexus study fee structure in addition to the Public Safety Master costs shown in Figure 6 (previous page). See Appendix E for full details.

KEY	PLACE NAME	Program Area	Loads		Rooftop Solar			Solar Carport (e)			
		New	Predicted (N) Facility Load	Predicted Maximum Battery Load	Rooftop Solar Maximum Capacity	Rooftop Solar Maximum Size	Estimated Rooftop Solar Cost @ \$3K/kW	Needed Solar Carport Capacity	Needed Solar Carport Size	Needed Solar Carport System Size	Estimated Solar Carport Cost @ \$3.4K/kW
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) incl. Ladder Bay	7,750 sf	104 MWh/Yr	99 kW	70 MWh/Yr	74 kW	\$222,000	34 MWh/Yr	3,800 sf	36 kW	\$122,400
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay	7,750 sf	104 MWh/Yr	99 kW	70 MWh/Yr	74 kW	\$222,000	34 MWh/Yr	3,800 sf	36 kW	\$122,400
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	7,070 sf	95 MWh/Yr	90 kW	64 MWh/Yr	67 kW	\$201,000	31 MWh/Yr	3,500 sf	33 kW	\$112,200
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	9,868 sf	132 MWh/Yr	126 kW	89 MWh/Yr	94 kW	\$282,000	43 MWh/Yr	4,800 sf	46 kW	\$156,400
	SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT	39,500 sf	435 MWh/Yr	414 kW	293 MWh/Yr	309 kW	\$927,000	142 MWh/Yr	15,900 sf	151 kW	\$513,400
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	19,809 sf	265 MWh/Yr	253 kW	89 MWh/Yr	94 kW	\$282,000	176 MWh/Yr	19,600 sf	186 kW	\$632,400
S	South Tracy Police Substation	8,794 sf	118 MWh/Yr	113 kW	79 MWh/Yr	84 kW	\$252,000	39 MWh/Yr	4,300 sf	41 kW	\$139,400
T	Police Department Training Facility	12,866 sf	173 MWh/Yr	165 kW	116 MWh/Yr	123 kW	\$369,000	57 MWh/Yr	6,300 sf	60 kW	\$204,000
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	5,900 sf	79 MWh/Yr	76 kW	53 MWh/Yr	56 kW	\$168,000	26 MWh/Yr	2,900 sf	27 kW	\$91,800
	SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT	47,400 sf	635 MWh/Yr	607 kW	337 MWh/Yr	357 kW	\$1,071,000	298 MWh/Yr	33,100 sf	314 kW	\$1,067,600
	SUBTOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES	87,300 sf	1,070 MWh/Yr	1,021 kW	630 MWh/Yr	666 kW	\$1,998,000	440 MWh/Yr	49,000 sf	465 kW	\$1,581,000

(continued)

KEY	PLACE NAME	2020 Subtotal Solar Carport Cost	Annual Construction Input Inflation 2021 & 2022	2023 Subtotal Solar Carport Bid Day Cost	Battery		Annual Construction Input Inflation 2021 & 2022	2023 Subtotal Battery System Bid Day Cost	2023 Total Project Bid Day Cost for Solar and Battery Installation	35% Indirect & Contingency: 10% Design & Planning; 10% CM; 15% General Contingency	2023 Total Project Cost for Solar and Battery Installation
					Needed Code-Required 6-Hour Battery	Estimated Battery System Cost					
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) incl. Ladder Bay	\$122,400	26.8%	\$155,203	0.30 MWh	\$240,000	26.8%	\$304,320	\$459,523	\$160,833	\$620,356
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay	\$122,400	26.8%	\$155,203	0.30 MWh	\$240,000	26.8%	\$304,320	\$459,523	\$160,833	\$620,356
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	\$112,200	26.8%	\$142,270	0.27 MWh	\$216,000	26.8%	\$273,888	\$416,158	\$145,655	\$561,813
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	\$156,400	26.8%	\$198,315	0.31 MWh	\$248,000	26.8%	\$314,464	\$512,779	\$179,473	\$692,252
	SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT	\$513,400		\$651,000	1.18 MWh	\$944,000		\$1,197,000	\$1,848,000	\$646,800	\$2,494,800
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	\$632,400	26.8%	\$801,883	0.76 MWh	\$608,000	26.8%	\$770,944	\$1,572,827	\$550,490	\$2,123,317
S	South Tracy Police Substation	\$139,400	26.8%	\$176,759	0.34 MWh	\$272,000	26.8%	\$344,896	\$521,655	\$182,579	\$704,235
T	Police Department Training Facility	\$204,000	26.8%	\$258,672	0.50 MWh	\$400,000	26.8%	\$507,200	\$765,872	\$268,055	\$1,033,927
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	\$91,800	26.8%	\$116,402	0.23 MWh	\$184,000	26.8%	\$233,312	\$349,714	\$122,400	\$472,114
	SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT	\$1,067,600		\$1,353,700	1.83 MWh	\$1,464,000		\$1,856,400	\$3,210,100	\$1,123,500	\$4,333,600
	TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES (rounded)	\$1,581,000	26.8%	\$2,005,000	3.01 MWh	\$2,408,000	26.8%	\$3,054,000	\$5,059,000	\$1,771,000	\$6,829,000

Figure 7 - Estimated Solar Nanogrid Cost Summary

METHODOLOGY

Beginning 2021, the master plan team led by INDIGO coordinated with City of Tracy personnel and its separate consultants to prepare an interim and now DRAFT REPORT for a Citywide Public Safety Master Plan (CPSMP update) which assesses current and future public safety building needs. Included in the CPSMP update are Police Department and Fire Department facilities. Problems, opportunities, and community assets were identified.

The consultant worked with a Facilities Committee formed by the City composed of leadership from the Fire Department, the Police Department, the City Engineer, and other City staff. Periodic meetings with this committee have been attended by this consultant.

The CPSMP update establishes division-by-division programmatic needs, basing projections on standards of service and the staffing of other cities that are geographically and demographically similar to the community at Horizon Year 2040. This comparison approach substantiates the space required for City operations by making adjustments on the basis of statistical procedures to enhance predictive accuracy. The CPSMP update is based on projections in the City's General Plan and detail in the Sphere of Influence as provided by the City. The CPSMP update takes full advantage of several pre-existing studies and development land use types which have been provided by the City. See Figure 9 for current City organization.

The scope of this report is subdivided into the following sections:

- EVALUATION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS
- SPACE STANDARDS AND FUNCTIONAL FLOW
- STAFF AND SPACE NEED PROJECTIONS
- MASTER PLAN
- COST
- FUNDING OPTIONS
- DESIGN GUIDELINES




Figure 8 - City Organizational Chart

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



EVALUATION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS

Existing Staff Levels and Space Utilization

Generally, the City of Tracy's 240 FTE of public safety staff – out of 533 FTE total City staff - are located in public safety facilities adding up to 108,000 square feet in area. These facilities are organized in as efficient manner as possible, given significant space separation issues and building deficiencies confronting both Police and Fire. Of the 108,000 square feet, the following 35,200 square feet of existing facilities require replacement:

- In 1995, Station 94 was relocated to a 5,600 square foot facility at 16502 W. Schulte Road. The condition of this 26-year-old facility is poor. The station houses a 2003 Pierce/Saber type 1 pumper ALS unit staffed with three personnel and a 2016 HME type 3 engine. The station is located on a site adjacent to CAL FIRE Santa Clara Unit, Station 26.




Figure 9 – 1995 Tracy Rural Fire Station 94, 16502 West Schulte Road (to be relocated)

- In 1986, the City built the 3,000 square foot Fire Station 97 at 595 West Central Ave. The condition of this 35-year-old facility is fair, and more significantly it is 40% of the size the South San Joaquin County Fire Authority (SSJCFA) now needs. Station 97 currently houses a 2015 Spartan Hi-Tech, type 1 pumper and is also staffed with three personnel trained to the Advanced Life Support (ALS) level.



Figure 10 – 1986 Tracy Fire Station 97, 595 West Central Ave (to be relocated)

- In 1979, the Police Department moved into the newly built 9,800 square foot Tracy Public Safety Facility Building at 400 East 10th Street as part of the new Civic Center complex. This 1-story building

included cast-in-place concrete partition walls for the 2,400 square foot jail. The department at this time consisted of less than 30 personnel.

- The condition of this 44-year-old building is poor;
- The facility requires demolition due to lack of accessibility, lack of essential service compliance, and unsuitability for renovation due to concrete jail infrastructure;
- Demolition also facilitates Civic Center expansion in place of existing Police Department.



Figure 11 - 1979 Tracy Police Building, 400 East 10th Street (to be demolished)

- In 2019, the department leased a 16,800 square foot “North Annex” industrial space at 1325 N MacArthur Drive to serve as an offsite evidence facility. The facility is outfitted with evidence lockers, 3 large evidence vaults, a drying room, a property release room, space for evidence vehicles, and a car lift. The condition of this leased facility is excellent. However, any time evidence is separated from the main police building, including its sallyport and holding facilities, it creates a more challenging chain-of-custody environment for the department and separates the Evidence Technician from Records and Patrol personnel, potentially weakening esprit de corps. The existing 1,000 square foot Evidence space in the 1996 building is now potentially made redundant, but it is not easily adapted to other uses. Because this is a leased space, this master plan does not count the department’s space use at 1325 N MacArthur Drive as “Existing Space” in its summary of attributable space.



Figure 12 - Leased North Annex Space, 1325 North MacArthur Drive (to be let go)

The end result of these needed adjustments to existing area is that 240 FTE of public safety staff are located in 73,100 square feet of existing space anticipated to remain in operation through Horizon Year 2040 and buildout. Figure 13 shows current staffing and space allocations organized by City departments and Figure 9 shows current City Organizational Chart.

Departments	Budget-listed Staff (FTE)	Existing Space to Remain (SF)
Police		39,600
Sworn	99	
Civilian	58	
Police Subtotal	157	39,600
Fire		
Certified Firefighters/ Fire Stations/ Training	77	9,700
Civilian/ Administration	6	23,800
Fire Subtotal	83	33,500
Public Safety Total	240	73,100

Figure 13 - Summary of Existing Public Safety Staffing & Space

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



Evaluation of Existing Facilities

A very general assessment of existing facilities conditions was conducted, based on prior tours of the facilities, approximate age of the facilities, and review of photos. Detailed assessments of existing conditions, including roofing conditions, mechanical and electrical systems conditions, hazardous materials present, complete accessibility code compliance, etc., was not included in the scope of this study.

The three condition types identified are “good,” “fair,” and “poor,” as described below. These assessments indicate the physical condition of the facilities and are not intended to rate programmatic functionality of the uses within. See Figure 14 for a tabular list of all public safety within the scope of this study and an assessment of their condition.

Good Condition:

- The facility is in good or excellent condition;
- The facility has benefitted from ongoing maintenance;
- The facility’s key systems may be worn but utility is not impaired;
- Key building systems, such as roof, windows, mechanical, electrical, etc., are estimated to have an average minimum of 10-20 years of life remaining;
- Relatively few accessibility compliance issues are present.

Fair Condition:

- The facility is in fair condition;
- The facility has received intermittent maintenance;
- The facility’s key systems may be soiled or shopworn, rusted, deteriorated or damaged, with utility slightly impaired;
- Renovation or repair is expected in the near future;
- Key building systems, such as roof, windows, mechanical, electrical, etc., are estimated to have an average minimum of 5-15 years of life remaining;
- Accessibility compliance issues are present.

Poor Condition:

- The facility is in poor condition;
- The facility has received little or no maintenance;
- The facility’s key systems may be badly broken, soiled, mildewed, deteriorated or damaged with utility seriously impaired;
- Prompt renovation or repair is needed;
- Serious accessibility compliance issues may be present.

EXISTING PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES						
KEY	PLACE NAME	YEAR	CONDITION	ADDRESS	(E)	(E) to Remain
A	Fire Headquarters Station "A"	1916	Good	835 Central Avenue	9,646 sf	9,646 sf
91	Fire Station 91	2005	Good	1701 West 11th Street	7,401 sf	7,401 sf
92	Fire Station 92	2014	Good	1035 E. Grant Line Road	5,136 sf	5,136 sf
93	Fire Station 93	2006	Good	1400 W. Durham Ferry Rd	6,147 sf	6,147 sf
94	Fire Station 94 (To Be Relocated)	1995	Poor	16502 West Schulte Rd	5,552 sf	0 sf
96	Fire Station 96	2014	Good	1800 W. Grant Line Road	5,136 sf	5,136 sf
97	Fire Station 97 (To Be Relocated)	1986	Fair	595 West Central Av.	3,009 sf	0 sf
					42,027 sf	33,466 sf
P	Police Department HQ	1996	Good	1000 Civic Center Dr.	27,616 sf	27,616 sf
I	Police Department Annex - Investigations	1979	Poor	400 E. Tenth Street	9,800 sf	0 sf
A	Police Department N. Annex - Leased Bldg	N/A	Excellent	1325 N. MacArthur Dr.	16,759 sf	0 sf
T	Police Department Training Facility	Varies	Fair	6649 S. Tracy Blvd.	2,296 sf	2,296 sf
D	Police Department Animal Shelter	2015	Excellent	2375 Paradise Rd.	9,692 sf	9,692 sf
					66,163 sf	39,604 sf
	TOTAL EXISTING PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY SPACE				108,190 sf	73,070 sf

Figure 14 - Existing Public Safety Assessment



Figure 16 – Existing Fire Dept Administration Site



Figure 15 - Existing Police Department Site

SPACE STANDARDS AND FUNCTIONAL FLOW

Recommendations for Operational Efficiency

As described above, the City of Tracy's 240 FTE of public safety staff are located in 73,100 square feet of existing space anticipated to remain in operation, along with all proposed modifications and additions, through Horizon Year 2040 and buildout. The current space needed for efficient operations shall be estimated and weighed against future needs in the City's concurrent nexus study. Meanwhile, this study recommends the following:

- Retain existing 16,800 square foot leased North Annex, housing Evidence, until permanent replacement co-located with Police Department for improved chain-of-custody and esprit de corps can be built.
- Begin 12,904 square foot expansion of Police Training Facility as soon as possible.
- Begin 9,900 square foot expansion of Fire Training Facility as soon as possible.

The end result of these needed adjustments to existing area is that 240 FTE of public safety staff will be located in 92,100 square feet of space.

The Figure 17 (below) shows the ideal relationships between departments within the Police Department.




Figure 17 – Police Department Relationship Diagram

Space & Facility Standards

Space standards were informed by a combination of existing space and normal and customary space for similar functions in other jurisdictions. The 25-year-old Police Department building is a “relatively new” facility. While it has a number of deficiencies, the basic quality and space allocation of the facility as built is a good indicator of the size and quality of spaces the city intended for the employees of the Police Department. Most of the Police Department’s space deficiencies relate to increased demand since completion of the building, so space standards are based on the original intent where it is clear from the original drawings. The space projections described in a section below provide adjustments for functions that expanded, displacing other functions.

Similarly, the relatively recent renovation of the Fire Administration Building provides a good indication of the intended provision for the administrative and office functions. The real growth issue for the Fire Department is the placement of new stations to cover growth areas of the city. Fire Station 95, under construction, will become the department’s newest facility, representing the operational characteristics it wants for future stations. It is unnecessary to provide a line item breakdown of spaces for the purposes of this master plan, so the gross building area of Fire Station 95 is used as the model for each needed fire station. Figure 18 shows space standards for the CPSMP update.

Position	Net Sq. Ft.	Comment
Police Department		
Chief of Police	300	Existing
Captain	190	Existing
Lieutenant	190	Existing
Executive Assistant	160	Existing
Sergeant	105	Existing
Typical Enclosed Office	100	Existing
Typical Open Workstation	64	
Detective	24	
Copy/Supply Enclosed	100	
Copy/Supply Open Office	64	
Coffee Counter	20	
Fire Department		
Fire Chief	320	Existing
Division Chief	220	Existing
Fire Captain	120	
Typical Open Workstation	64	
Fire Station	7,100	Gross SF

Figure 18 - Public Safety Space Standards

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



STAFF AND SPACE NEED PROJECTIONS

Staff projections provide an intermediate step in the development of an organization's space needs.

There are a number of methods for projecting staffing at Horizon Year 2040 and buildout. The method used here is to project staffing based on the staffing of six other cities that are geographically and demographically similar to the City of Tracy's projected population for Horizon Year 2040, in the case of the Police Department, and buildout, in the case of the Fire Department. The growth assumptions in this Master Plan are based on data from September 2021, received from the City of Tracy. They don't include the growth assumed in the City of Tracy 6th cycle Housing Element.

Growth Factors & Staff Projection Table

The staff levels of the six cities, and current and required staff at Horizon Year 2040 and buildout for the City of Tracy are shown in Figure 19. These closely matched cities have a range of 92,000 to 160,000 residents. As would be expected, increases in city staff generally correspond to increases in population, ranging from a low of 1.02 sworn officers per 1,000 population in Vallejo and a low of 0.53 certified firefighters in Fairfield to a high of 1.18 sworn in Hayward and 0.89 certified in Vacaville.

City	General Pop.	Police				Fire			
		Sworn Officers	Sworn/ 1,000 Pop.	Civilian	Civilian / 1,000 Pop.	Cert. Fire- fighters	Cert./ 1,000 Pop.	Civilian	Civilian / 1,000 Pop.
Tracy 2023 Horizon Year 2040 / Buildout	100,000 141,000 / General Plan	99.0	0.99	58.0	0.57	77	0.77	6	0.06
		152.2	1.08	77.9	0.55	97.5	0.69	12	0.08
Concord	129,300	154.0	1.19	57.0	0.44				
Fairfield	117,000	125.0	1.07	73.1	0.62	62	0.53	7	0.06
Hayward	160,300	189.0	1.18	119.5	0.75	130	0.81	24.4	0.15
Livermore	91,900	95.0	1.03	50.5	0.55	55.5	0.60	7	0.08
Vacaville	98,900	113.0	1.14	59.0	0.60	88	0.89	12	0.12
Vallejo	119,100	122.0	1.02	53.0	0.45	81	0.68	6	0.05

Figure 19 - Population and Staffing

On the Police side of the matched cities, the average ratio of staff per 1,000 population in each category comes to 1.11 sworn officers and 0.57 civilian employees. Tracy's current ratios are 0.99 sworn (89% of the average) and 0.57 civilian (100% average). Tracy's projected 2040 ratios are 1.08 sworn and 0.55 civilian (both 97% of the average).

On the Fire side of the matched cities, the average ratio of staff per 1,000 population in each category comes to 0.70 certified firefighters and 0.09 civilian employees. Tracy's current ratios are 0.77 certified (110% of the average) and 0.06 civilian (67% of the average). Tracy's projected buildout ratios are 0.69 sworn (99% of the average) and 0.08 civilian (both 89% of the average).

Staffing for firefighters was based on current staffing plus those needed for the additional fire stations needed to serve the community at buildout. The South San Joaquin County Fire Authority Standards of Cover study by Citygate Associates dated May, 2017 clearly considered all of the city’s proposed expansion areas with an efficient distribution of stations, so assumptions regarding fire stations for the Public Safety Master Plan Update are consistent with those recommendations.

The Citygate study recommends four new fire stations for the entire fire authority. The average staffing of each existing station (not including the ladder company at Fire Station #91) is 3 fire captains, 3 fire engineers, 3 firefighters, and 0.3 fire reserves. This results in a small drop in the ratio of certified firefighters from 0.77 to 0.69 per 1,000 population. Inspection of the Citygate study and staffing patterns consistent with that seem to validate that the same level of service is provided at buildout as currently exists.

A table with current and Horizon Year 2040 and buildout staffing for public safety agencies is provided in Appendix A.

Space Projection Tables

Space projections were developed on a line item basis using the staffing projections, reviews of existing space and plans, and spaces that are normal and customary for public safety. Appendix B provides the spaces needed under the current budget and at Horizon Year 2040 and buildout. Subtotals of net space are provided for each department with estimates of “departmental” space, effectively equivalent to lease space in a commercial building with allowances for internal circulation, columns, etc. Gross building area is provided by use of an efficiency factor that provides allowances for exterior building walls, vertical circulation elements, primary circulation, public toilets, and mechanical rooms. The efficiency factor varies from 75% to 90% depending on type of facility.

Building	Police															Subtotal w/ 33% Gross Circulation
	Admin	Field Operations	Community Services	Traffic	Code Enforce- ment	Support Services	Animal Services	Records	Dispatch	Shared Support	Investiga- tions	Crime Scene	Holding	Ancillary Areas	Training	
P PD Remodel & Addition	1,890 sf	1,331 sf	1,630 sf	764 sf	1,170 sf	410 sf		1,737 sf	1,220 sf	510 sf	3,770 sf	9,261 sf	1,890 sf	7,855 sf		47,425 sf
S South Tracy Police Substation		1,839 sf		236 sf				463 sf				309 sf		3,765 sf		8,794 sf
T PD Training Facility															11,400 sf	15,162 sf
D PD Animal Shelter - Phase 2							15,592 sf									15,592 sf
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT	1,900 sf	3,200 sf	1,600 sf	1,000 sf	1,200 sf	400 sf	15,600 sf	2,200 sf	1,200 sf	500 sf	3,800 sf	9,600 sf	1,900 sf	11,600 sf	11,400 sf	87,000 sf

Figure 20 - Summary of Police Department Space Needs



	Building	Fire			Subtotal
		Admin	Training	Stations	
A	Fire Headquarters Station "A"	9,646 sf			9,646 sf
91	Fire Station 91			7,401 sf	7,401 sf
92	Fire Station 92			5,136 sf	5,136 sf
93	Fire Station 93			6,147 sf	6,147 sf
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) incl. Ladder Bay			7,750 sf	7,750 sf
95	Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)			7,070 sf	7,070 sf
96	Fire Station 96			5,136 sf	5,136 sf
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay			7,750 sf	7,750 sf
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)			7,070 sf	7,070 sf
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3		9,868 sf		9,868 sf
SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT		9,600 sf	9,900 sf	53,500 sf	73,000 sf

Figure 21 - Summary Fire Department of Space Needs

KEY	PLACE NAME	Program Area			
		Existing	Remodel	New	2040/ Buildout
A	Fire Headquarters Station "A"	9,646 sf			9,646 sf
91	Fire Station 91	7,401 sf			7,401 sf
92	Fire Station 92	5,136 sf			5,136 sf
93	Fire Station 93	6,147 sf			6,147 sf
94	Fire Station 94 (To Be Relocated. Land owned by SCFA.)	5,552 sf			
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) incl. Ladder Bay			7,750 sf	7,750 sf
95	Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)			7,070 sf	7,070 sf
96	Fire Station 96	5,136 sf			5,136 sf
97	Fire Station 97 (To Be Relocated. Sell property.)	3,009 sf			
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay			7,750 sf	7,750 sf
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)			7,070 sf	7,070 sf
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3			9,868 sf	9,868 sf
SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT		33,500 sf	0 sf	39,500 sf	73,000 sf
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	27,616 sf	27,616 sf	19,809 sf	47,425 sf
I	PD Annex (1979 Police Station to be Demolished)	9,800 sf			
A	PD North Annex (Leased - to be let go)	16,759 sf			
S	South Tracy Police Substation			8,794 sf	8,794 sf
T	Police Department Training Facility	2,296 sf	918 sf	12,866 sf	15,162 sf
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	9,692 sf		5,900 sf	15,592 sf
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT		39,600 sf	28,500 sf	47,400 sf	87,000 sf
RCT	Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities			400 sf	400 sf
SUBTOTAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES		0 sf	0 sf	400 sf	400 sf
SUBTOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES		73,100 sf	28,500 sf	87,300 sf	160,400 sf

Figure 22 – Existing Space Available and Space Need through Horizon 2040 and Buildout

The scope of the proposed Police Department Headquarters and Training Facility remodels and additions includes renovation of the existing facilities to both provide for future development and to correct existing deficits. The percent of the cost attributable to growth can be determined by dividing the square footage related to future development by the square footage of the proposed renovation. The City's concurrent nexus study will provide estimates of the square footage related to future development for this purpose. The proposed Animal Shelter and South Tracy Substation additions include no anticipated renovation of existing facilities.

Fire administration does have some vacant offices, but the remodeled station used for the department's administrative offices also has old crew quarters that are under-utilized.

Additional fire stations are required to meet future increases in demand. The need for expanded training facilities is as much a strategic question of whether to use in-house or regional resources as it is a calculation of development-driven demand.

A related issue is the distribution of future fire stations to meet the needs of the incorporated and unincorporated areas. Based on Citygate's proposed locations for future fire stations 94, 95, 97, and 99; the service areas for each were determined by Harris & Associates and areas within each service area calculated separately for incorporated city, developed unincorporated area, and undeveloped unincorporated area.

Impact fees cannot charge for the future correction of current unmet needs. The City's concurrent nexus study will demonstrate that unmet needs have been excluded from the final impact fees.

CITYWIDE PUBLIC SAFETY MASTER PLAN UPDATE

The consensus public safety master plan continues to implement the 2017 recommendations of the Fire Department Standards of Cover Study and, by consensus of City leadership, keeps the Police Department in the downtown civic center. The consensus public safety master plan proposes implementation of the 2020 South San Joaquin County Fire Authority Radio System Overall Assessment's recommendations for short and long term upgrades.

Fire Facilities Citywide




Figure 23 – Map of Existing City Fire Facilities

City Fire Administration Building

In 1916, the City passed a bond that provided for renovations of the Administration Building on a 0.6 acre site at 835 Central Avenue. This building also served as the City Hall and Jail until city offices were moved out in 1947. With the recent renovations completed, this landmark building continues to serve as the Fire Department's headquarters 106 years later and remains in good condition. The use of office spaces have changed since the previous master plan, including the South San Joaquin County Fire Authority's addition of a prevention bureau, and just more overall staff in general. These offices have displaced some existing Fire Training space, which will be relocated to the new Fire Training Facility site, currently under Phase 1 construction and to be expanded to 5.13 acres with classroom and office space during future Phase 2 & 3 renovations.



Figure 24 – 1916 Tracy Fire Department Building, 835 Central Avenue (to be upgraded)

Tracy Rural Fire Protection District

In 1945, the Tracy Rural Fire Protection District (TRFPD) was established to protect the greater Tracy region. This 200 square mile region is bounded (clockwise) by the Grant Line Canal to the north, the Paradise Cut to the northeast, the San Joaquin River to the east, the Stanislaus County line to the southeast, I-580 to the south, and the Alameda County line to the west. Per the 2017 Fire Department Standards of Cover Study, Rural Area first-due response time maximum is 11 minutes. This measure includes a 7:30-minute travel time. See below for TRFPD and City stations discussed in chronological order.




Figure 25 – Map of Existing Rural Fire District Stations

2017 Standards of Cover Study

The 2017 Fire Department Standards of Cover Study recommends an eight-station deployment to provide substantially improved first-due and multiple-unit coverage to serious emergencies in the growing urban areas. The Study also identified additional response time issues with the dispatch call handling. These issues have since been resolved as addressed by Fire Division Chief, David A. Bramell:

We have worked to speed up the dispatch process through Stockton Fire Dispatch taking the live caller directly from the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) instead of the live caller being questioned by AMR before sending it to Stockton. In 2019 (after the 2017 SOC study), our staff and particularly Chief Bradley, led an effort to introduce and change state legislation that provided a pathway to improve the dispatch process. The particulars of this legislation are found in [Senate Bill 438](#).



City Fire Station 97

In 1986, the City built the 3,000 square foot Fire Station 97 at 595 West Central Ave. The condition of this 35-year-old facility is fair, and more significantly it is 40% of the size the South San Joaquin County Fire Authority (SSJCFA) now needs. Station 97 currently houses a 2015 Spartan Hi-Tech, type 1 pumper and is also staffed with three personnel trained to the Advanced Life Support (ALS) level.



Figure 26 – 1986 Tracy Fire Station 97, 595 West Central Ave (to be relocated w/ expanded ladder truck bay)

This master plan supports the relocation of Fire Station 97 to a new 7,750 square foot facility on a 2 acre site in the Valpico area. This proposed station will include an extra 700 square feet for an extended ladder truck bay. This plan proposes that the City sell that decommissioned property once the replacement station has been built.



Rural Fire District Station 94

In 1995, Station 94 was relocated to a 5,600 square foot facility at 16502 W. Schulte Road. The condition of this 26-year-old facility is poor. The station houses a 2003 Pierce/Saber type 1 pumper ALS unit staffed with three personnel and a 2016 HME type 3 engine. The station is located on a site adjacent to CAL FIRE Santa Clara Unit, Station 26.



This master plan supports the relocation of Fire Station 94 to a new 7,750 square foot facility on a 2 acre site in the Cordes Ranch area, sized appropriately to incorporate a new ladder truck.




Figure 30 – Map indicating approximate location of Relocated Station 94

South San Joaquin County Fire Authority

In 1999, the City Fire Department and the Tracy Rural Fire District consolidated to form the South San Joaquin County Fire Authority (SSJCFA). The SSJCFA was created to provide fire protection services to the entire jurisdictional area of both the corporate City limits and surrounding rural community, including Banta, Lammersville, and Vernalis. Both Tracy Rural and the City of Tracy contract with the SSJCFA to receive fire protection services. The SSJCFA in turn contracts with the City of Tracy to provide employee and administrative services.

City Fire Station 91

In 2005, Tracy's Fire Station 91, dedicated "Eagan Station", was relocated from the downtown area to a new 7,400 square foot facility at 1701 West 11th Street. This met the recommendations of the 1998 Standards of Response Coverage report. Further review by Kirchhoff and Associates in 2008 confirmed that Station 91's new location would conform to the City's adoption of the NFPA 1710 Standard for 6.5 minutes total reflex response time. Station 91 is in good condition and it is the City's only "double house" station staffing both an engine and truck company. Staffed with three personnel, Engine 91 is a 2015 Spartan Hi-Tech type 1 pumper. Truck 91, a 2017 Pierce Tractor-Drawn Aerial, is also staffed with three personnel. A 2005 Pierce Kenworth Water Tender is also housed at Station 91. Both Engine 91 and Truck 91 are staffed to the Advanced Life Support (ALS) level.



Figure 31 – 2005 Tracy Fire Station 91, 1701 West 11th Street (to remain)

Rural Fire District Station 93

In 2006, Tracy Rural Fire District’s Station 93 was relocated to a new 6,200 square foot facility at 1400 W. Durham Ferry Road. Station 93 houses a 2008 Pierce Saber type 1 pumper ALS unit staffed with three personnel. This facility is in good condition.



Figure 32 – 2006 Fire Station 93, 1400 W. Durham Ferry Road (to remain)

City Fire Station 92

In 2014, Tracy’s Fire Station 92 and Fire Station 96 were relocated per the recommendations of the 2007 Standards of Cover Study. Both stations moved into identical new 5,100 square foot facilities.

Tracy’s Fire Station 92 moved into the Northeast industrial area at 1035 E. Grant Line Road. Station 92 houses a 2015 Spartan Hi-Tech, type 1 pumper ALS unit staffed with three personnel and a 2008 HME, type 1 pumper from the California Office of Emergency Services (CalOES). Station 92 shall receive radio system upgrades – see [Figure 20](#) for more information.



Figure 33 – 2014 Fire Station 92, 1035 East Grant Line Road (to remain)

City Fire Station 96

Tracy's Fire Station 96 moved closer to I-205 at 1800 W. Grant Line Road. Station 96 houses a 2009 Spartan HI-TECH type 1 pumper ALS unit staffed with three personnel. Station 96 also houses HazMat 42, a Type 2 Hazardous Materials response unit assigned from CalOES. The Fire Authority is one of only 12 local government agencies in the State of California to be assigned a fully-equipped Type 2 Hazardous Materials response vehicle from CalOES. The vehicle is available to respond to both local and statewide Hazardous Materials incidents. Personnel assigned to this station are certified Hazardous Materials Specialists.



Figure 34 – 2014 Tracy Fire Station 96, 1800 West Grant Line Road (to remain)

Tracy's Fire Station 92 and Fire Station 96 remain in good condition, but there are some functional issues as addressed by Fire Division Chief, David A. Bramell:

Neither floor plan included a public access bathroom (a citizen would have to walk through the station to use a restroom) and there is very limited space for the Captain to conduct a supervisory meeting in the office space (current Captain's office is within their bedroom).

Rural Fire District Station 95

Tracy Rural Fire District's Station 95, built in 2021, is a new 7,100 square foot facility at 7151 Tracy Hills Drive. Fire Station 95's plan addresses the aforementioned issues with Station 92 and Station 96. This facility is in accord with the 2017 Standards of Cover Study. This master plan supports the adoption of

Station 95 as the basis of design for future 7,100 square foot SSJCFA fire stations on similar 0.9 acre sites. Station 95 houses an ALS engine company staffed with three personnel.



Figure 35 – 2021 Fire Station 95, 7151 Tracy Hills Drive

City Fire Station 99

The Standards of Cover Study also recommends the construction of a new 7,100 square foot Tracy Fire Station 99 in the Ellis area, on 0.9 acres per this basis of design, bringing total fire station facilities to 52,800 square feet through buildout.

City Fire Training Facility

Finally, also in 2023, Phase 1 of a new Fire Training Facility is under construction at 1399 S. Chrisman Road. This facility represents a much needed upgrade, including training apparatus specifically designed for fire training. The existing 2,300 square foot Training Facility at 6649 S. Tracy Blvd, now the Capt. John J. Serpa Memorial Training Facility, once shared with Police, will similarly be upgraded for use solely by Police.

- Phase 1, funded prior to this study, consists of a fire training tower, site utilities, parking, and driveway on 3.13 acres.
- Phases 2+3, included in this study, consist of 2 acres of the following improvements:
 - Forty (40) person pre-manufactured classroom, two offices, clinical space, kitchenette, and restrooms
 - Additional Site Utilities (Electrical)
 - Rail Car props
 - Drafting pit
 - Above grade and below grade rescue props
 - Large apparatus and equipment storage facility
 - Connection to city wastewater and storm water systems




Figure 36 – 2022 Tracy Fire Training Apparatus at NEI Reservoir, 1399 S. Chrisman Rd (under construction)

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

Police Facilities Citywide

1940 Police Building

In 1940, the City's first standalone Police station and jail was built on West 8th Street. The building is still standing to this day but is now a business office.



Figure 37 – 1940 Tracy Hall of Justice (decommissioned)

1979 Police Building

In 1979, the Police Department moved into the newly built 9,800 square foot Tracy Public Safety Facility Building at 400 East 10th Street as part of the new Civic Center complex. This 1-story building included cast-in-place concrete partition walls for the 2,400 square foot jail. The department at this time consisted of less than 30 personnel. The condition of this 42-year-old building is poor.



Figure 38 - 1979 Tracy Police Building, 400 East 10th Street (to be demolished)

1996 Police Building

In 1996, the Police Department relocated into the newly built 27,600 square foot City of Tracy Police Facility at 1000 Civic Center Drive. This 2-story building included a 1,000 square foot Evidence space, 1,000 square foot Holding Facility, and an 800 square foot Holding Facility Sallyport. The department at this time consisted of approximately 110 personnel. Tracy's population at the time was approximately 50,000 people. The condition of this 25-year-old building is good.



Figure 39 - 1996 Tracy Police Department, 1000 Civic Center Dr (to receive tenant improvement & addition)

Between 1996 and 2021, the Police Department repurposed space within their existing facility to accommodate population growth and the corresponding growth within the department. When repurposing and accommodating no longer proved sufficient, the department began to move some police functions into other facilities.




Figure 40 – Map of Existing Police Facilities

1979 Police Building, cont.

In 2012, the Police Department’s Special Investigations Division returned to the 1979-era police building still standing at 400 E. 10th Street. This facility is inherently inadequate for meeting modern accessibility clearances and other code compliance standards. The concrete jail cell walls make renovations or any real use of that 2,400 square foot space impractical. In addition to the poor condition, space adaptation and non-compliance issues, separating detectives from the rest of the department potentially weakens esprit de corps. Because of these fundamental issues, this master plan does not count the department’s space use at 400 E. 10th Street as “Existing Space” in its summary of attributable space and recommends its demolition.



Figure 41 – Back of 1979 Tracy Police Building, 400 East 10th Street (to be demolished)

City Animal Shelter

In 2015 the Police Department Animal Services Division moved into the newly built \$3.8 million 6,000 square foot (9,700 square foot, including kennels) Animal Shelter at 2375 Paradise Road. The Animal Services Unit is comprised of nine employees consisting of a Supervisor, four Animal Services Officers, two Shelter Assistants, and two Administrative Assistants. Since Tracy had no animal shelter prior to 2015, this move did not alleviate the primary growth pressures impacting the 1996-era facility. The move did, however, expand the department's ability to serve the growing animal-loving population. The condition of this 6-year-old facility is excellent.




Figure 42 – Map indication locations of Proposed Police Facilities

This master plan supports the second phase addition of 5,900 square feet to the animal shelter to serve the City through Horizon Year 2040.



Figure 43 - 2015 Tracy Animal Shelter, 2375 Paradise Road (to receive addition)

2013 Police Facilities Master Plan

The prior 2013 Citywide Public Safety Master Plan proposed renovating the existing 25-year-old police building at 1000 Civic Center Drive to serve as a mixed use Downtown Police Substation, Emergency Operations Center, Dispatch Call Center, and IS Division office building. This plan also called for the construction of a 41,000 square foot Police Department Service Center on 4-6 acres along the 11th Street corridor to meeting the needs of a growing community through build out.




Figure 44 - 2013 Civic Center Master Plan

2023 Police Facilities Master Plan Update

Now, in 2023, the City's population has grown to approximately 95,000 people. The Police Department now consists of 154 personnel, 99 of those sworn officers, for a ratio of 1.03 to this population. The population of Tracy is expected to exceed 141,000 by Horizon Year 2040. The department will need to grow to 230 personnel to serve this population, with 152 of those sworn officers, for a ratio of 1.11. This need for 230 personnel is over twice the capacity of what the original 1996 facility was designed to accommodate, let alone the other ancillary spaces and functions needed to serve a growing population.

Having Investigations and Evidence Divisions operating out of separate facilities is not optimal with the deficiencies described in the preceding paragraphs above.

Civic Center Expansion of Police Building

This 2023 Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update also supports the complete renovation of the 27,600 square foot Police Facility at 1000 Civic Center Drive; however, it further proposes to add to this facility in place with an expansion of the existing site. A 2-story addition of 19,784 square feet will result in a new 47,400 square foot all-in-one Civic Center Public Safety Facility at Horizon Year 2040. This requires the demolition of the nearby 1979-era police building and partial closure of 10th Street to expand the existing Police Department site at the Civic Center complex from 2.7 acres to 4.7 acres. In addition to providing room for the building expansion, a new vehicle sallyport and ancillary building, this site extension increases parking from 175 existing spaces, with 69 of those secure, up to 243 spaces, with 140 of those inside a secure enclosure. This renovation and addition will provide the Police Department with the building and site space it requires to serve the City through Horizon Year 2040.



Figure 45 - Bird's Eye View of Proposed Civic Center Expansion of Police Building and Site




Figure 46 – Civic Center Expansion of Police Building and Site (at Horizon Year 2040)

Police Substation

This 2023 Citywide Public Safety Master Plan Update also supports the construction of a new 8,800 square foot facility on 2.3 acres to be purchased by City near Ellis, South Linne, and Tracy Hills developments. This new site adds 94 parking spaces, with 74 of those inside a secure enclosure. With 20 visitor, 20 secure staff, and 54 secure police vehicle spaces, the substation site relieves some of the parking pressures on the Civic Center facility. The site further includes a covered space for an EOC “RV” Vehicle and covered motorcycle parking. This new substation will provide the Police Department with the building and site space it requires to serve the growing southern areas of the City through Horizon Year 2040.



Figure 47 – Civic Center Expansion of Police Building and Site (at Horizon Year 2040)

The following conceptual floor plan options for this facility provide open office space for twelve workstations and private office space for six sergeants workstations. The plans include a public lobby with restrooms and secure access to an 823 square foot Community Meeting room. The Community Meeting room, in turn, has access to a covered outdoor patio. For staff, across from lockers, is a 325 square foot Gym.


Where the options differ is in the locker room configuration. Option 1 (below) features a typical layout for separate men's and women's locker facilities with semi-private toilet rooms. Changing is assumed to take place in the locker area itself. The trade-off for the higher locker count in this Option (22 women, 32 men, 4 day lockers) is there's only space for 1 semi-private shower each. This Option is less flexible in that if more than 22 women or more than 32 men are posted to this Substation, some staff may miss out on having a full-size locker.



Floor Plan - Option 1

Figure 48 – Conceptual Floor Plan Option 1 with typical Male & Female locker rooms.

Conceptual floor plan Option 2 (below) features an all-gender locker room configuration, providing 49 lockers for any ratio of staff gender posted to this Substation. The locker room features 4 fully-private shower rooms, each with lavs, two of these rooms are fully-accessible and include toilets. In addition, the locker room features 4 fully-private toilet rooms, each with lavs. These 6 single-occupant rooms provide private changing space as well.



Floor Plan - Option 2

Figure 49 – Conceptual Floor Plan Option 2 with an all-gender locker room.

North Annex Leased Building

In 2019, the department leased a 16,800 square foot “North Annex” industrial space at 1325 N MacArthur Drive to serve as an offsite evidence facility. The facility is outfitted with evidence lockers, 3 large evidence vaults, a drying room, a property release room, space for evidence vehicles, and a car lift. The condition of this leased facility is excellent. However, any time evidence is separated from the main police building, including its sallyport and holding facilities, it creates a more challenging chain-of-custody environment for the department and separates the Evidence Technician from Records and Patrol personnel, potentially weakening esprit de corps. The existing 1,000 square foot Evidence spaces in the 1996 are now potentially made redundant and are specialized and not easily adapted to other uses. Because this is a leased space, this master plan does not count the department’s space use at 1325 N MacArthur Drive as “Existing Space” in its summary of attributable space.



Figure 50 - Leased North Annex Space, 1325 North MacArthur Drive (to be let go)

For training facilities, this master plan supports the Police Department upgrading 900 square feet of the existing 2,300 square foot Capt. John J. Serpa Memorial Training Facility at 6649 S. Tracy Blvd and adding a much needed additional 12,900 square feet of training facilities. The Fire Department is currently constructing their own separate 8,100 square foot Fire Training Facility at 1399 S. Chrisman Road.



Figure 51 - Captain John J. Serpa Memorial Police Training Facility (to be upgraded and expanded)

Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities

As summarized in “South San Joaquin County Fire Authority (SSJCFA) Radio System Overall Assessment” (2020) by Silke Communications, the City shall upgrade its communications infrastructure as follows.

Future Radio System:

- Two channel, repeated VHF analog voted unicast system, digital (P25) ready, with remote monitoring capability.
- New subscriber radios shall be P25 capable with just software licensing upgrades.

Microwave network for voter connectivity:

- Microwave links to Station 91, 92, 93, 94, future 95, 96, current or future 97, Fire Training Facility, and Fire Administration.

Station Infrastructure:

All stations have SCD South, TAC 16 voted receivers, local radios and microwave connections.

- Station 91: tower upgrade to 100 feet depending on future Station locations to West
- Station 92: minimum 100 foot tower
- Station 93: minimum 150 foot tower
- Proposed Station 94: minimum 100 foot tower
- Recently Completed Station 95: minimum 100 foot tower
- Station 96: up to 100 foot tower depending on neighbors
- Proposed Station 97 or Fire Training Center: 180 foot tower that will become system hub
- All future Stations to have minimum 100 foot tower.




Figure 52 – Tracy Public Safety Radio Communications Systems Modeling

In addition, this master plan supports upgrades to the radio room at the 1996 Police Department Building, including replacing the voting hardware with new network enabled voters and improving the SCD and TAC 16 transmission system. These upgrades will provide the best near term radio system improvements for the least cost and least disruption. Also recommended is for the police to employ dual band portable and mobile (UHF/VHF) radios that will provide inter-operability with the Fire Department and other law enforcement agencies.

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

COST

Estimate Summary

The Public Safety Master Plan carries a total project development cost of approximately \$130.8 million as shown on Figure 53. Included are estimated construction costs (\$84.2 million), indirect and contingency costs (\$29.5 million), vehicles and furnishings, fixtures, and equipment (FF&E, \$13.9 million), and land acquisition (\$3.7 million). See also Appendix D.

PLACE NAME	2023 Construction Bid Day Cost	35% Indirect & Contingency: 10% Design & Planning; 10% CM; 15% General Contingency	FF&E & Vehicles	Land Acquisition	2023 Total Project Cost
Fire Headquarters Station "A"					
Fire Station 91					
Fire Station 92					
Fire Station 93					
Fire Station 94 (To Be Relocated. Property owned by SCFA.)					
Fire Station 94 (Relocation - Cordes Ranch Area)	\$6,942,300	\$2,429,805	\$2,600,000	\$500,000	\$12,472,000
Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)	\$6,197,192	\$2,169,017	\$874,518	\$192,837	\$9,433,000
Fire Station 96					
Fire Station 97 (To Be Relocated. Sell property.)					(\$451,350)
Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay	\$6,942,300	\$2,429,805	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$10,872,000
Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	\$5,427,849	\$1,899,747	\$1,000,000	\$233,012	\$8,561,000
Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	\$6,793,881	\$2,377,858	\$500,000	\$0	\$9,672,000
SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT	\$32,303,500	\$11,306,200	\$5,974,500	\$1,425,800	\$50,558,700
PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	\$31,599,384	\$11,059,784	\$2,160,114	\$0	\$44,819,000
PD Annex (1979 Police Station to be Demolished)					
PD North Annex (Leased - to be let go)					
South Tracy Police Substation	\$9,479,695	\$3,317,893	\$648,049	\$1,760,000	\$15,206,000
Police Department Training Facility	\$4,887,202	\$1,710,521	\$36,098	\$402,925	\$7,037,000
Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	\$5,044,104	\$1,765,436	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$7,810,000
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT	\$51,010,400	\$17,853,600	\$3,844,300	\$2,162,900	\$74,872,000
Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	\$862,240	\$301,784	\$4,067,185	\$125,000	\$5,356,000
SUBTOTAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	\$862,200	\$301,784	\$4,067,185	\$125,000	\$5,356,000
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES (rounded)	\$84,177,000	\$29,462,000	\$13,886,000	\$3,714,000	\$130,790,000

Figure 53 - Public Safety Master Plan Cost Estimate Summary

Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities

The total project cost of the Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities have been estimated at approximately \$5.4 million. See description on page 43 for more information about the system.

Facility Allocations

The City is conducting an independent analysis of the public safety impact fees necessary to cover the costs of the proposed new public safety buildings in the City of Tracy. This analysis includes a review of the facilities needs, and resulting building program and cost estimates, in this report. The purpose of this review shall be:

- to validate public safety facility improvement needs to support Horizon Year 2040 and buildout of the Tracy General Plan;
- to identify and segregate costs attributable to current deficiencies for existing development versus costs for facility expansion and upgrade requirements associated with new development; and
- to then provide an estimate of the impact fee burdens that would be placed on different categories of new development in accordance with their relative contribution to demand for the new or upgraded facilities, in order to fund the capital facilities program.

Land Acquisition Value

For the purposes of estimating land acquisition value, this report estimates \$250,000 per acre. This unit cost derives from the Harris & Associates 2019 Public Safety Impact Fee Update. Notable exceptions to this unit cost (also deriving from the 2019 Fee Update):

- \$402,925 for PD Training Facility land acquisition, and
- \$1,760,000 for 2.2 acres of South Tracy Police Substation land acquisition.

Site Development Cost

For the purposes of estimating site development cost, this report estimates \$800,000 per acre. This unit cost derives from cost data averaged from multiple comparable public safety projects. Notable exceptions to this unit cost are the following facility upgrades and additions not requiring comprehensive landscaping or parking additions:

- \$275,000 per acre for FD Training Facility expansion,
- \$250,000 per acre for PD Training Facility expansion,
- \$200,000 per acre for PD Animal Shelter Phase 2 expansion, and
- \$360,000 per acre for the Radio Communications Tower improvements.

Building Construction Cost Inflation

For the purposes of building construction cost, this report estimates an average 2023 Bid Day Unit Cost of \$730 per square foot of combined remodel and new construction across all projects.

- Fire facility unit costs average \$820 per square foot for all new construction.
- Police facility unit costs average \$670 per square foot for approximately 38% remodel construction and 62% new construction.
- The Radio Communications Tower unit costs reflects much more dense construction necessities for its 400 square feet, with a unit cost of \$2,160.

These unit costs derive from cost data averaged from multiple comparable public safety projects.

FUNDING OPTIONS

This study identifies and projects public safety facility needs for the City of Tracy and it estimates the total project costs for these facilities in current dollars. The City is providing a separate study, looking at all proposed master plan updates, examining the nexus of attributions of these costs, whether existing vs new development, or facilities serving populations inside the City limits vs those outside those limits.

Per above, the City of Tracy is conducting a concurrent study to document the required nexus findings to implement a fee program. This study will establish a nexus between future development in Tracy, the public facilities that will serve those projects, and the impact fees that will fund those facilities. The purpose of this separate, concurrent study is to ensure that a rational nexus exists between future development in the area and the use and need of the proposed infrastructure.

As outlined previously, the vast majority of Public Safety Master Plan costs are the responsibility of the City of Tracy. A small portion of the costs for fire protection facilities are attributable to existing rural (as opposed to newly developed) properties within the service areas of the proposed new fire facilities. After discussion of this matter with Tracy fire authorities, it was deemed prudent to leave it up to the City to negotiate any cost sharing with their rural neighbors.

Funding for Costs Attributable to Existing Development

The Public Safety Master Plan update provides the basis to identify the new facilities that are needed as the City grows as well as the cost of those facilities. The City of Tracy follows the principle that new development pays its fair share of costs for public facilities and services. Most, but not all, Public Safety Master Plan costs are attributable to new development, because without the increase in demand for City services created by new development, the City would have only limited need for new or expanded Public Safety facilities. However, the City still has the need to fund a portion of the Public Safety Master Plan costs that cannot be collected from new development. Following are a number of options that the City could consider for funding existing development's share of costs:

- **Existing Public Safety Facilities Impact Fee Fund Balance** – Funds previously collected from developments that have been completed (i.e., now part of the base of existing development) but not yet expended are likely to be the first source of funding to pay for existing development's share of required facilities. The City will need to confirm that funds are eligible to be spent on the improvements included in this Master Plan.
- **Funds Collected as Part of Development Agreements** – To the extent that the City has or will collect revenues from prior or future development agreements to help fund public benefits in general, or specific public safety improvements, the City may have the ability to utilize some of these funds to pay for existing development's share of costs. This will depend on the specific terms of individual development agreements. Similarly, if existing or future development agreements call for developers to provide in-kind contributions towards public safety improvements, this may help to offset some of the responsibility of existing development to help fund improvements.
- **Grants from Other Governmental or Charitable Sources** – The City may be able to gain access to grant funds from programs for which improvements included as part of this Master Plan would be permissible uses of funds. Such grant funds could help to pay for existing development's share of the improvements. This may include sources such as Community Development Block Grants from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and

public safety grants from agencies such as the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Some local, regional, or national charitable organizations may also offer grant funding opportunities from time to time.

- **Parcel Taxes, Assessment Districts and Other Funding Mechanisms Requiring Voter Approval** – If other funding mechanisms discussed above do not provide sufficient funding to cover existing development's share of costs for public safety improvements, the City could utilize funding from voter-approved revenue mechanisms to cover existing development's share. For example, in November 2016 the City Council and voters passed an additional ½ cent local sales tax (known as Measure V). This measure replaced a prior ½ cent sales tax measure that expired. The City began collecting the extra half-cent sales tax in April of 2017 for a term of 20 years. It is expected to generate approximately \$9 million annually for the City's General Fund. Depending on other needs, the City could allocate portions of this annual revenue to help pay for existing development's share of public safety facilities costs. If Measure V funds are not available, or insufficient, the City could pursue voter approval to establish a new funding source that could involve new parcel taxes, special taxes, special assessments, or other mechanisms. The City would need to hold an election to allow the affected voters to decide if they wish to tax themselves in order to raise the necessary funds. If a new tax measure was property-based, new development areas that will pay impact fees or make contributions through development agreements, and other areas that have already contributed their fair share of costs through prior payment of impact fees or through development agreements could be exempted from paying the new levies.
- **Infrastructure Financing District** – With the abolition of California Redevelopment Agencies in 2011, cities lost a powerful tool to fund public facilities using property tax increment that otherwise would have accrued to various tax-receiving entities. To partially address the loss of Redevelopment Agency tax increment financing, the State enacted legislation to allow formation of Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts (EIFD) and Community Revitalization Investment Authorities (CRIA). Powers of these districts include tax increment financing, but they each have different authorized activities and carry different requirements for how they operate. An element that EIFDs and CRIAs share in common is that they are typically only able to access the tax increment that is directly controlled by the local jurisdiction (e.g., City of Tracy); thus, their ability to generate revenues is limited, particularly in areas where there will be limited new development, such as in existing developed parts of the City.
- **Bond Proceeds** – If the City has an ongoing source of annual revenues that it can dedicate to debt service payments, the City can issue different types of bonds in order to obtain funds to pay for "up front" costs and then repay the bonds over time. General Obligation bonds, which are backed by the City's full faith and credit, require voter approval. Other types of bonds, such as Mello-Roos Community Facility District bonds, or certificates of participation (COPs), which involve more limited security for repayments to bondholders may not require voter approval but have different legal requirements.
- **General Fund Allocations** – After other possible funding sources have been exhausted, the City Council will have the discretion to allocate General Fund monies to help pay for existing development's share of required new facilities, to fill remaining funding gaps. Through its annual budgeting process, the City Council would approve a transfer of money from the General

Fund to its General Projects Fund (#301) where the funds could then be allocated to support specific Public Safety capital projects. For example, this would be the mechanism to allocate a portion of Measure V funds towards Public Safety Master Plan projects.

Funding for Costs Attributable to New Development

New development may contribute its fair share of costs through any of the other funding mechanisms that have previously been mentioned. However, the most typical mechanisms to fund new development's share are:

- Development Impact Fees (i.e., Tracy Infrastructure Master Plan (TRIMP) fees)
- Mello-Roos Community Facilities Districts
- Special Assessment Districts
- Development Agreements (including provision of in-kind improvements, such as construction of new facilities, or provision of sites for new public facilities)

These funding mechanisms are typically established as part of the process of entitling new developments, so voter approvals are not typically necessary. In the case of development impact fees, the City will update the TRIMP fee program to reflect the updated Public Safety Master Plan and ensure that the appropriate level of funds is collected to pay for new development's share of the facilities costs, and to ensure that the City can then expend fee revenues collected through the TRIMP in accordance with an updated fee program.

When mechanisms other than development impact fees are used to pay for only a portion of new development's share of costs, credits can be given to reduce the amount of development impact fees that would otherwise be paid. In addition, sometimes developers provide more than their fair share of public improvements and agree to be repaid over time by impact fees that are paid by subsequent developers who benefit from the improvements.

In addition to development impact fees and the mechanisms listed above, private transaction fees are another mechanism that is being utilized more frequently in California and other states to collect funds from new development. A private transaction fee uses a private sale of real estate to trigger a contractual requirement that funds be paid for a certain purpose. For example, a private transaction fee could stipulate that a certain dollar amount be paid into a fund to pay for public safety improvements every time a home or commercial property is sold, within a specific area. If utilized in place of development impact fees, the City should be aware that private transaction fees may defer the ability to fund new public facilities, since impact fees are typically paid before homes are constructed, while private transaction fees might not be collected until homes are completed and sold to homebuyers.

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



DESIGN GUIDELINES

In order to assure consistency with existing City guidelines, a review of relevant existing guidelines is shown here, supplemented with additional recommendations relevant to the CPSMP update.

General Plan Land Use Guidelines

The City's General Plan Land Use Element includes goals, objectives, policies and actions for all public facilities and specified private improvements:

- Establish a clearly defined urban form and city structure.
- Comprehensively plan for new development in the City's Sphere of Influence.
- Ensure that the public facilities such as schools, parks, and other community facilities are accessible and distributed evenly and efficiently throughout the City.
- Promote efficient residential development patterns and orderly expansion of residential areas to maximize the use of existing public services and infrastructure.
- Encourage development near transit stations including the multi-modal station in Downtown, and the Altamont Commuter Express (ACE) station or stations.
- Expand the City's cultural and arts facilities.
- Locate services and amenities within walking distance of neighborhoods.
- Target new uses for the Downtown to reinforce its role as the heart of the City.
- Establish the Downtown as the governmental and cultural focus for the City and the region.
- Ensure land use patterns that minimize conflicts between transportation corridors and neighboring uses.

See "City of Tracy General Plan" (2011).

Civil Engineering and Construction Guidelines

The City has previously commissioned a study that includes minimum standards for the design, construction, maintenance, repair, and alteration of all public facilities and specified private improvements:

- Roadways.
- Storm Drainage.
- Wastewater Facilities.
- Water Facilities.

See "City of Tracy Engineering Design & Construction Standards" (2020).

Streetscape Design Guidelines

Guidelines ensuring that the installed landscape enjoys a long lifespan, is aesthetically pleasing, with minimal maintenance and watering requirements. Tracy's Downtown has a compact, grid street system and serves as the historical heart of the City. There are numerous historical buildings that enhance the City's identity, walkable main streets with a diverse mix of uses, and a small town urban fabric. The City has previously commissioned a study that includes:

- Sound Wall Design.
- Planting Design.
- Irrigation Design.
- Side Streetscapes, Medians and Intersections.

See "City of Tracy Standard Plans" (2020).

General Plan Community Character Urban Design Principles

The City's General Plan Community Character Element includes urban design principles for all public facilities and specified private improvements:

- Human-scale Design.
- Focal Points.
- Edges.
- Visual Landmarks and Entryways.
- Building Siting to Hold Corners.
- Pedestrian Orientation.

See "City of Tracy General Plan" (2011).

Urban Design Guidelines¹

- Parcel Geometries
- City buildings shall, with some exceptions, be oriented parallel to the existing public streets.
- Civic Center buildings south of 10th Street shall remain oriented 45 degrees to the other parcels in order to align with the existing diagonal walkways.
- Build-to Lines
- To create clearly defined public spaces, the City shall impose build-to lines.
- The goal is to have a minimum of 80% of each building conform to a typical build-to line.
- Pedestrian Plazas, Arcades and Entries
- Buildings shall be designed to enhance the definition and the quality of the plazas they face.
- Major pedestrian entries of civic buildings shall be from boulevards or major plazas.
- Entries shall be easy to find and inviting and shall be protected from the elements by covered arcades.
- Arcades shall be provided to unify civic buildings co-located on the same site and not separated by a vehicular way.
- Landmark Buildings
- All landmark buildings will have prominent roof forms developed from a three tiered hierarchy: major, secondary, and minor.
- Major landmarks shall be the tallest, rising significantly above the nearest roofs.
- The major landmarks are to be the most recognizable from afar and have the most memorable forms.
- The secondary landmarks shall be visibly distinct when seen from the vantage of the nearest public street or easement. These landmarks should not compete with the major landmarks.
- The minor landmarks are special buildings that should be architecturally distinct from, be easier to find, and be more inviting than the other non-landmark buildings.
- All other buildings shall have flat roofs with natural colored roof ballast.

Architectural Design Guidelines²

These guidelines have been developed to maintain a high quality appearance and to assure compatibility and harmony of all buildings. The guidelines are not intended to limit creative design or individuality. These guidelines shall apply unless an exceptional quality of design, materials, and contribution to the common character and public spaces can be demonstrated.

The architecture of Tracy's public buildings should promote a civic identity appropriate to the importance of the site. Each building should be reflective of the following:

¹ Adapted from Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines, Group 4, 1999

² Adapted from Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines, Group 4, 1999

- Be of enduring quality.
- Demonstrate design excellence.
- Unacceptable styles or themes
- Overtly historical.
- Mission or Spanish revival.
- Residential scale or imagery.
- Commercial or retail imagery.
- Corporate or office building style or imagery.
- Required Building Features
- Arcades.
- Varied building forms.
- Pedestrian scaled elements
- Articulated building “bases” at a pedestrian scale. Building bases should, at appropriate locations, be suitable as places to sit.
- Rhythm of windows and wall scaled to the walking pace of a pedestrian.
- Interesting elements and details to look at.
- Places to gather.
- Garden walls and trellises.
- Prominent roofs
- Visible from major boulevards and promenades.
- Material shall be metal, concrete, or concrete tile of a neutral shade.
- Articulated from the building mass.
- Flat roofs are not acceptable for landmark buildings.
- Materials and Finishes
- Stone, brick or concrete masonry
- Cast-in-place or precast concrete
- Cement Plaster
- Metal, concrete, or clay tile (flat profile) roofing for landmark buildings
- Parapet bordered, ballasted, membranes for flat roofs.
- Color Strategy
- Bright and sunny
- Light colors predominate
- Neutral shades on roofs and special wall surfaces
- Complimentary colors on accent features

Sustainability Measures

The City’s Sustainability Action Plan includes measures to achieve sustainability targets as applicable for all public facilities and specified private improvements:

- Green Building Ordinance
- Energy Efficiency in Site Planning and Design
- Energy Efficient Products
- Energy Efficient Retrofits for City Street Lights
- Solar Panel Installations on Municipal Facilities
- Energy Efficiency Settings for City Desktop Computers
- Reduced Parking Requirements
- Support for Bicycling
- Support for Transit
- Smart Growth, Urban Design and Planning
- Parking Cash-Out Programs for Employees
- Increased Use of Low Carbon Fueled Vehicles
- High-Density Infill Projects
- Non-Toxic Building Materials
- Green Building Training for City Staff
- Emerald Tracy Website
- San Joaquin Council of Governments Sustainable Communities Strategy

See “City of Tracy *Sustainability Action Plan*” (2011).

This study augments the above already published measures with the following regional-appropriate measures to achieve sustainability, including extending the survivability of facilities. These are recommended as design guidelines for the public facilities to be built resulting from this master plan.

Extended Survivability – Facilities built under the CPSMP update should be designed with extended survivability built-in. The recent earthquake & tsunami

in Japan is yet another example of what happens in disasters when structures are not able to survive and remain in service. This was probably a 300-year event, but the probability of such events is often misunderstood and misused. This event could easily have happened today, here in California. While an ocean tsunami is not possible in Tracy, the area is certainly earthquake-prone. The USGS database shows that there is a 98.035% chance of a major earthquake within 50 kilometers of Tracy – well within the lifetime of Tracy’s public buildings and representing a serious threat

to the delivery of public services when they are needed most. This may be the City of Tracy’s highest duty and responsibility - to serve the public during times of critical emergency. (See Figure 54.)



Figure 54 - Earthquake, Chile

To do so, the City must have facilities that have survived any predicted event and remain functional and can support emergency service delivery. Most planning and building design standards for earthquakes are based on the ability of a structure to withstand only a 100-year event. The earthquake and tsunami in Japan, Hurricane Katrina, and other major events demonstrate the need for facilities to remain useable post disaster for extended periods without electric power and other services. Designing for this is called the “*extended survivability*” design process.

Extended Survivability Defined - “*Extended Survivability*” is the ability of a facility to remain useable even when disaster has stricken and electric and other utilities are down for extended periods. As an urban planning and architectural design concept, it defines how a district or building is able to continue to operate even during a protracted outage of utility services such as electric power, natural gas, water and sewerage. As applied in California, it defines the ability to survive the maximum anticipated earthquake, forest fire, flood or other natural disaster, and to endure the prolonged power and other outages that may follow. At present, public safety facilities need only to comply with minimum building code requirements and provide for emergency power generation for a limited period of time, up to 72 hours.

Largely unaddressed, however, is the long term functionality of the post-disaster facility. Examples of earthquake-resistant design are shown in Figure 55, Figure 56, Figure 57, and Figure 58.

This is where extended survivability comes in. This is especially critical for Police and Fire essential services buildings which need to function after a disaster. Advances in earthquake engineering, energy conservation, and design with climate and onsite energy production have made this possible to achieve. However, it requires the adoption of a new architectural and engineering design paradigm. A major component of this paradigm is the use of sustainable and passive design with climate-adapted techniques.

Passive planning and design principles utilize the forces of nature to help ensure continued building functionality. Structural design techniques such as using “shock absorbers” in the frame to soften the blow of earthquake forces, allow the building to respond with minimal impact to structure and contents. (See Figure 61, p.58) Use of natural lighting from skylights and windows allows daytime building use without electric power for lighting.



Figure 55 – Viscous Damper Retrofit, Kent WA




Figure 56 – Code-Prescribed Earthquake-Resistance




Figure 57 – Steel Fuse Technology, Stanford & Northeastern Universities

Natural ventilation and operable windows help ensure that the building can be used even when power or fuel supply for mechanical systems is compromised. Heating and cooling load avoidance strategies, passive solar design principles, and use of thermal mass to reduce indoor temperature fluctuation are all effective techniques. The reduced demand on emergency power generation resulting from the above listed strategies greatly extends the period of time when the building can remain operational. Finally, small photovoltaic electric systems can then maintain computer and critical communications functionality.

Benefits & Relation To Sustainability - The three main benefits of extended survivability in buildings are: 1) *extended emergency operations* are provided long after onset of an emergency, 2) *workplace quality* is dramatically improved and 3) *energy-efficiency* is improved substantially reducing energy costs and making LEED certification easier.

Extended Emergency Operations - The first benefit is that services remain available in a post-emergency scenario and allow for continuous, operations long after the onset of an emergency event such as earthquake, flood, fire, etc. Services required for functionality, building envelope integrity, safety provisions, water and energy availability and the presence of light and air are all provided in a cascading arrangement depending on the extent and duration of emergency. (See Figure 59.)




Figure 58 – Replaceable steel fuses (in blue) contort to absorb seismic energy.

OPERATION		NORMAL MODE	EMERGENCY MODE	EXTENDED SURVIVABILITY MODE
		normally available	<72 hr. post-event	>72 hr. post-event
		(N)	(E)	(ES)
FUNCTION	All functions fully operational	X		
	Most functions are operational	X	X	
	Critical functions are operational	X	X	X
ENVELOPE	Envelope is intact and fully functional	X		
	Envelope, if damaged, can be immediately occupied	X	X	
	Envelope, if damaged, operates in manual mode	X	X	X
	Envelope admits natural light and air for occupancy	X	X	X
SAFETY	Structure resists all normal and lateral loads	X		
	Structure may be damaged but is safe to occupy	X	X	
	Structure and utilities may be damaged but safe to occupy	X	X	X
WATER	Water systems are fully available	X		
	Water supplied by City pressure or e-generator pumps	X	X	
	Water provided only by storage or solar pumps	X	X	X
ENERGY	Normal heating and cooling is available	X		
	Heating and cooling powered by e-generator	X	X	
	Passive heating and cooling, thermal mass	X	X	X
	Photovoltaic with battery backup	X	X	X
LIGHT & AIR	Mechanical ventilation fully available	X		
	Electric lighting fully available	X		
	Electric lighting available assist from e-generator	X	X	
	Natural ventilation with power assist from e-generator	X	X	
	Natural lighting available with battery nightlighting	X	X	X
	Natural ventilation available	X	X	X

Figure 59 - Table of Extended Emergency Operations

Normal (N) Mode operations provide for full serviceability. Emergency (E) Mode operation takes effect during the first 72 hours of an emergency and provides most services normally available, thanks in large part to the presence of emergency power generation with proper fuel supply. (See Figure 60.) Extended Survivability (ES) Mode provides for continued serviceability during protracted emergencies when the grid may be down for long periods of time, beyond the 72-hour duration fuel supply and when refueling may not be an option due to the nature of the emergency, for example in a major earthquake. In this mode of operation, unlimited and ongoing operations of critical systems are possible.



Figure 60 – Emergency Generator with Shear Lugs Added to Seismic Skid Mount

The traditional code-based design approach does not design with extended survivability in mind. Design to code-only assures life safety for typical structures so people can get out, but does not limit damage to the degree that the building can remain in use. After an earthquake, for example, buildings still standing must often undergo major rehabilitation or be completely replaced due to the prohibitive cost of repair. Extended survivability design protocol includes the use of high performance engineering methodologies instead of prescriptive code-based design techniques. (See Figure 61.)



Figure 61 - Earthquake Protected Police Building with Seismic Dampers & Daylighting, Vacaville, CA

Workplace Quality Improved - The second benefit of designing for extended survivability is that a much higher quality workplace environment results from the use of natural lighting and ventilation. Daylight provides building users with superior visual acuity, a sense of psychological well being, and dramatic energy savings. Extensive research has shown that naturally lit buildings which control the use of daylight for the benefit of the occupants improve worker satisfaction and productivity as well as reduce absenteeism. This is due to the superior quality of natural light, exposure to the diurnal cycle and the provision of exterior views which are all part of a daylighting strategy. Just as we bring daylight and air inside the building envelope, we understand the importance of bringing people to the outside of buildings. Shelter, good solar orientation, courtyards and covered walkways provide outdoor spaces which can be used year-round.

Energy-efficiency, LEED and Sustainability - The third benefit is that the planned absence of energy to run the building causes the designer to consider the climate of a region in its design, which in turn makes a building inherently more energy-efficient. Designing for the specifics of climate is the most powerful way to reduce energy consumption. By designing with natural systems instead of trying to override them, low-cost or even no-cost energy reduction gains are made. In simple terms, passive solar, thermal mass storage, natural lighting and ventilation and other low-cost sensible techniques are employed to reduce reliance on energy-intensive mechanized solutions.

Developing a strong, simple extended survivability rationale results in elegant building designs that harness natural forces with the latest in technology and, in the process, make buildings more easily certifiable in high-performance building programs such as LEED. The path to LEED, zero net-energy buildings and carbon neutrality becomes easier to follow under the extended survivability framework, helping Tracy meet those goals, as well as creating highly energy-efficient public facilities which are better, more productive work environments.




Figure 62 - Green House Gas Mandate
public facilities which are better, more productive work environments.

Green House Gas Reduction - Extended survivability and energy efficiency measures directly mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, facilitating City of Tracy compliance with AB 32 and EO S-3-05. The GHG target from Tracy's Sustainability Action Plan is a 15 percent reduction in per capita emissions from the 2006 baseline of 11.6 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent. This target is adopted as a facility design guideline for the public facilities resulting from this CPSMP update.

Green house gases (GHG) trap heat in the atmosphere, causing the earth to warm. The scientific consensus on climate change is that the fossil fuel driven increase in CO2 emissions has caused a rapid increase in global average temperatures over the past one hundred years; this is particularly evident over the last five decades.

In response, California has enacted climate change legislation, most notably AB 32, which establishes climate change emissions reduction targets for the state. AB 32 requires GHG emissions to be reduced to 1990 levels by 2020 and EO S-3-05 would see emissions drop to preindustrial levels by 2050. General Plan update CEQA approvals offer the path to AB 32 compliance for Cities, with the State Attorney General providing ultimate oversight and enforcement.

Local governments have a unique ability to effect GHG mitigation by adopting Climate Action Plans (CAPs). When successfully amended to the General Plan, City and county CAPs provide a roadmap to reduce not only direct operational GHG emissions, but also influence the GHG footprints of citizens, industries, and businesses within their jurisdiction. Through visibility and purchasing power, local governments can set an example for households and businesses in their GHG-reduction practices. Nearly every local, county and state agency in California is acting to mitigate GHG emissions. (See Figure 62 and Figure 62)

Net Zero-Energy Buildings (ZEB) Definition - Net zero-energy buildings (ZEB), including their site, consume zero net energy and emit zero net carbon annually. The result is net zero energy costs, when averaged over a year, for the City.

Simplified ZEB Protocol - Designing for the specifics of climate is the most powerful way to reduce energy consumption and achieve zero-net energy buildings. By designing with natural systems instead of trying to override them, low-cost or even no-cost energy reduction gains are made. In simple terms, passive solar, thermal mass storage, natural lighting and ventilation and other low-cost sensible techniques are first employed. Once the basic building envelope has been optimized for the particular Central Valley climate zone for Tracy, efficient mechanical and electrical systems are used that support all facility uses such as lobbies, office, and training space. Total yearly energy demand is then calculated and converted to photovoltaic capacity in kW to offset this demand. (See Figure 63, p.61.)

ZEB and Life Cycle Cost - Choices at every stage - from standards and specifications to design and construction - are made based on efficacy of function, energy-efficiency, durability and cost. Cost is not only first cost but life cycle cost including maintenance, operations, recycling and replacement cost. Since total envelope and process loads are reduced to minimum, there is a corresponding reduction in the offset cost to achieve zero-net energy since less on-site renewable energy (e.g. photovoltaics) is required. This means less cost to installed KW capacity.



Figure 63 - Net Zero-Energy Transportation Center, Vacaville, Ca.

Facility Design Recommendations – A menu of key extended survivability and sustainability features, where appropriate, recommended for the buildings included in the CPSMP update, includes:

- **Photovoltaic power for critical needs** – Consider small-scale rooftop photovoltaics array to power critical emergency circuits, IT, radio, etc. Could be rooftop mounted or site racks.
- **Isolate and protect critical utilities** – Evaluate each building system for criticality including but not limited to radio, telecommunications, power, sanitary sewer, potable water, etc. Identify feasible measures which can be cost-effectively taken to harden against flooding, earthquake or other threat to be determined.
- **Design structures to “immediate-occupancy” level** – The new Police Department Service Center and Fire Stations will be designed to the highest structural level, that of immediate occupancy, which means that the structural frame and all building services will be available after a seismic event.
- **Use seismic dampening to improve survivability at same cost** – Consider use of viscous fluid dampers (VFD) or other structural dampening techniques to increase the resilience of the building frame under earthquake loads, improving survivability during and serviceability after an earthquake.
- **Use energy-efficient design to extend survivability and reduce utility bills** – A variety of measures such as east-west building orientation, use of thermal mass, high-efficiency mechanical strategies,

etc. will reduce energy consumption and extend the duration in which emergency power can be provided.

- **Use natural light and ventilation to improve workplace quality and extend survivability** – Use of natural lighting and ventilation provides for a high-quality workplace day-in and day-out, but also means that the building can be passively operated and inhabited when emergency power has been exhausted.
- **Make full use of daylighting** – Make full use of windows for daylight, use skylights at roof so that most of building can be naturally lit for use in emergency. Daylighting means that primary work spaces are provided with natural light from skylights and/ or high windows with light shelves, with the electric lighting system controlled by light sensors which automatically turn them off when there is sufficient natural light. 30% - 50% of the energy used by most buildings in the U.S. goes into lighting, a large share of that can be saved by a daylighting system.
- **Add window shading** – Use overhangs, solar screens and other devices to permit view out, yet reduce summer heat load, reduce air conditioning demand and extend duration of emergency generator power due to reduced rate of fuel consumption. Saves on utility bill, too.
- **Provide super-insulation** – Maximum insulation values are utilized. Wall insulation of up to R-40 is encouraged, twice the usual thermal resistance of a wall. Roof insulation values between R-30 and R-40 are desired. Consider alternative building technologies like using California's Central Valley's own straw bale as insulation for buildings which provides up to R-40 walls.
- **Increase thermal mass** – Heat storage capacity is maximized through the use of high specific heat and heat capacity materials such as concrete, masonry and even interior wallboard assemblies. Novel use of materials to increase thermal mass should be considered such as straw bale covered concrete exterior walls, concrete floor and concrete roof to name a few. The large heat storage capacity of these surfaces will moderate temperature swings in the building and reduce the demand for heating and cooling. The resulting "thermal flywheel" effect can be amplified through use of nighttime ventilation strategies to help "carry" the building through hot summer days with less mechanical cooling required.
- **Nighttime ventilation** – During the summer, when the night air is cool, buildings can be ventilated with outside air to cool the heavy mass of interior and exterior walls. A cool slab and heavy mass walls will help keep the building cool for much of the day. Thus, demand for mechanical refrigeration cooling can be greatly reduced in Tracy's hot climate.
- **Reflective cool roof** – Where re-roofing is required, use "cool roof" products. Roofs should be cool roof designs which reduce roof surface temperatures, reduce heat transmission into the building and reduce "heat island" effect.
- **Use natural ventilation** – Natural ventilation or mixed-ventilation delivery of outside air could be provided. Naturally ventilated air will flow from low vents to high vents.
- **High-efficiency mechanical systems** – Use high-efficiency mechanical systems which will reduce utility bills at same time as extending duration of emergency generator power due to reduced rate

of fuel consumption. Consider water-based systems in order to avoid the inherently less-efficient heat transfer provided by air-based systems.

- **Raise sites for minimum 100-year flood protection** – Public facility sites should be raised minimum 1' above base flood elevation (BFE) to protect against projected 100-year flood events. Consider berming to further protect against flooding.
- **Design two-story buildings** – This provides a second level retreat in case of severe flooding, helping ensure delivery of public services during emergencies. Also saves land. The resulting compact building design allows multiple departments to share one elevator, resulting in a resource-efficient and energy-efficient design.
- **Place critical functions on second floor** – In order to provide an area of retreat in case of flooding which exceeds the 100-year projection, place critical functions on second floor where flood water will not reach. Critical functions include the Emergency Operations Center (EOC), Dispatch/Communications, other.
- **Elevate emergency generator and fuel supply** – Raise emergency power generator and its 72-hour fuel supply to be able to withstand any flooding risk, also includes transfer switch and emergency power panels. Space below to be used for storage and hardened against flooding.

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX A – PUBLIC SAFETY STAFFING PROJECTIONS

Projected staffing for public safety agencies are shown in the table below. It should be emphasized that staffing projections are an intermediate step to determine needed facilities, and are not a basis for budgeting future positions.

Police Department Staffing Projections

	Current	2040	Comment
Police Department			
Office of the Chief			
Chief of Police	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Deputy Chief	0.0	1.0	Sworn
Professional Standards Officer	1.0	1.6	Sworn
Executive Assistant	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Bureau of Field Operations			
Captain	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Administrative Assistant	1.0	1.4	Civilian
Patrol Division			
Lieutenant	4.0	4.0	Sworn
Day Shift			
Sergeant	2.0	4.2	Sworn
Corporal	2.0	4.2	Sworn
Officer	12.0	19.1	Sworn
Community Service Officer	2.0	2.8	Civilian
Swing Shift			
Sergeant	2.0	4.2	Sworn
Corporal	2.0	4.1	Sworn
Officer	12.0	19.0	Sworn
Community Service Officer	2.0	2.8	Civilian
Night Shift			
Sergeant	2.0	3.6	Sworn
Corporal	2.0	3.6	Sworn
Officer	12.0	19.0	Sworn
Community Services Division			
Lieutenant	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Neighborhood Resource Unit			
Sergeant	1.0	2.0	Sworn
Corporal	1.0	2.0	Sworn
Officer	1.0	1.6	Sworn
School Resource Officer	3.0	4.7	Sworn
DARE Officer	1.0	1.6	Sworn
Crime Prevention Specialist	2.0	2.8	Civilian
Volunteers in Police Services	25.0	35.6	Unpaid Civilian
Traffic Safety Unit			
Sergeant	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Corporal	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Officer	3.0	4.7	Sworn
Traffic Interns	2.0	2.8	Unpaid Civilian
<i>(table continued on following page)</i>			

Appendix A – Public Safety Staffing Projections, continued...

Police Department Staffing (continued)			
Code Enforcement			
Community Preservation Manager	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Code Compliance Analyst	1.0	1.4	Civilian
Administrative Assistant II	1.0	1.4	Civilian
Building Inspector II	1.0	1.4	Civilian
Code Enforcement Officer	3.0	4.3	Civilian
Bureau of Support Services			
Police Support Operations Manager	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Police Support Services Technician	1.0	1.4	Civilian
Animal Services			
Supervisor	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Administrative Assistant II	2.0	2.8	Civilian
Animal Services Officer	4.0	5.7	Civilian
Animal Services Aide	2.0	2.8	Civilian
Records			
Records Unit Supervisor	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Police Records Assistants	7.0	10.1	Civilian
Dispatch			
Communications Unit Supervisor	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Lead Public Safety Dispatchers	4.0	4.0	Civilian
Public Safety Dispatchers	12.0	17.3	Civilian
Bureau of Investigations			
Captain	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Lieutenant	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Administrative Assistant II	1.0	1.4	Civilian
Crime Analyst	1.0	1.4	Civilian
General Investigations Unit			
Sergeant	1.0	2.7	Sworn
Corporal	1.0	2.7	Sworn
Detective	9.0	14.2	Sworn
Special Investigations			
Sergeant	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Corporal	1.0	1.0	Sworn
Detective	3.0	4.7	Sworn
Special Enforcement			
Sergeant	2.0	2.0	Sworn
Corporal	1.0	1.6	Sworn
Officer	6.0	9.5	Sworn
Crime Scene Unit			
Crime Scene Supervisor	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Crime Scene Technician	4.0	5.7	Civilian
Subtotal Sworn Officers	96.0	152.2	
Subtotal Civilians	58.0	77.9	
Police Department Budgeted Total	154.0	230.1	
Interns and Volunteers	27.0	38.4	
Police Department Occupant Total	181.0	268.5	

Appendix A – Public Safety Staffing Projections, continued...

Fire Department Staffing Projections

Fire Department	Current	Buildout	
Fire Chief	1.0	1.0	Certified
Division Chief	2.0	2.0	Certified
EMS Manager	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Executive Assistant	1.0	1.0	Civilian
Fire Prevention Division			
Fire Marshal	1.0	1.0	Certified
Administrative Assistant II	1.0	2.0	Civilian
Fire Inspectors	3.0	4.5	Certified
Hazardous Materials Inspector	0.0	1.0	Civilian
Plans Check Examiner	1.0	2.0	Civilian
Building Permit Technician	1.0	2.0	Civilian
Fire Operations Division			
Battalion Chief	3.0	3.0	Certified
Administrative Assistant II	1.0	2.0	Civilian
Fire Captain	22.0	28.0	Certified
Fire Engineer	21.0	27.0	Certified
Firefighter	24.0	30.0	Certified
Fire Training Division			
Fire Captain	0.00	1.0	Certified
Administrative Assistant II	0.00	1.0	Civilian
Subtotal Certified Firefighters	77.0	97.50	
Subtotal Civilian	6.0	12.00	
Fire Department Budgeted Total	83.00	109.50	
Budgeted Separately: Fire Reserve	25.0	37.5	Certified
Fire Department Occupant Total	108.00	147.00	

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



APPENDIX B – PUBLIC SAFETY SPACE PROJECTIONS

Police Department Space Projections

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Administration						
Chief of Police	300	1	300	1	300	
Deputy Chief	200	0	0	1	200	
Professional Standards Officer	120	1	120	2	240	
Executive Assistant	120	1	120	1	120	
Reception/Waiting	225	1	225	1	225	
Conference Room	230	1	230	1	230	
File Room	85	1	85	1	85	
Coffee Counter	20	1	20	1	20	
Supply	30	1	30	1	30	
Subtotal, Net Area			1,130		1,450	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			1,470		1,890	
Bureau of Field Operations						
Captain	160	1	160	1	160	
Administrative Assistant	64	1	64	1	64	1 @ Substation
Patrol Division						
Watch Commander's Office	140	1	140	0	0	1 @ Substation
Sergeants	150	1	150	0	0	1 @ Substation
Corporals	150	1	150	0	0	1 @ Substation
Community Service Officers	150	1	150	0	0	1 @ Substation
Patrol Ready Room	240	1	240	0	0	1 @ Substation
Conference Room	100	1	100	0	0	1 @ Substation
Report Area	24	4	96	0	0	6 @ Substation
Briefing Room	300	1	300	1	300	
Armory	100	1	100	1	100	
SWAT Armory	100	1	100	1	100	
Patrol War Bag Storage	—	1	20	1	20	Shelves with access zone
Files	—	0	160	1	160	See also Substation
Equipment Room	—	0	130	1	130	See also Substation
Mail Boxes	—	1	80	1	80	See also Substation
Subtotal, Net Area			2,140		1,114	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			2,780		1,331	58% Substation reduction
Community Services Division						
Lieutenant Office	160	1	160	1	160	
Neighborhood Resource Unit						
Sergeant Office	120	1	120	2	240	
Corporal	64	1	64	2	128	
Officer	64	1	64	2	128	
School Resource Officer	48	3	144	5	240	
DARE Officer	64	1	64	2	128	
Crime Prevention Specialist	64	2	128	3	192	
Convenience Copier/Printer	20	1	20	1	20	
Coffee Counter	20	1	20	1	20	
Subtotal, Net Area			784		1,256	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			1,020		1,630	

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Bureau of Field Operations, cont...						
Traffic Safety Unit						
Sergeant Office	120	1	120	1	120	
Corporal	64	1	64	1	64	
Officer	64	3	192	3	192	2 @ Substation
Traffic Interns	48	2	96	2	96	1 @ Substation
Equipment Room	80	1	80	1	80	
Convenience Copier/Printer	20	0	0	1	20	
Coffee Counter	20	1	20	1	20	
Subtotal, Net Area			572		592	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			740		764	24% Substation reduction
Code Enforcement						
Community Preservation Mgr	120	1	120	1	120	
Code Compliance Analyst	64	1	64	2	128	
Administrative Assistant II	64	1	64	2	128	
Building Inspector II	64	1	64	2	128	
Code Enforcement Officer	64	3	192	5	320	
Drawing Files	15	1	15	1	15	
Layout Table	20	1	20	1	20	
Convenience Copier/Printer	20	1	20	1	20	
Coffee Counter	20	1	20	1	20	
Subtotal, Net Area			579		899	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			750		1,170	
Total Bureau of Field Operations Net			5,205		5,311	
Departmental			6,760		6,785	

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Bureau of Support Services						
Police Support Operations Manager	190	1	190	1	190	
Police Support Services Technician	64	1	64	2	128	
Subtotal, Net Area			254		318	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			330		410	
Records						
Records Unit Supervisor	120	1	120	1	120	Shared between shifts
Police Record Assistants	64	7	448	9	576	2 @ Substation
Service Counter	50	1	50	1	50	
Fingerprint Livescan	25	1	25	1	25	
File Area	—	1	170	1	170	High density storage
Work Room	220	1	220	1	220	
Document Scanning	100	1	100	1	100	Also @ Substation
Storage	100	1	100	1	100	
Coffee Counter	20	1	20	1	20	
Subtotal, Net Area			1,253		1,381	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			1,630		1,737	21% Substation reduction

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Bureau of Support Services, cont...						
Dispatch						
Communications Supervisor	160	1	160	1	160	spare console in office
Communications Operator	115	6	690	10	1,150	
Lockers	—	1	42	1	63	
Storage	100	1	100	1	100	
Break Room	100	1	100	1	100	
Toilet	50	1	50	1	50	
Equipment Room	80	1	80	1	80	
Subtotal, Net Area			1,222		1,703	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			1,590		2,210	
Shared Support						
Conference Room	150	1	150	1	150	Alcove outside mail room
Mail Room	100	1	100	1	100	
Mail Boxes	20	1	20	1	20	
Shipping & Receiving	120	1	120	1	120	
Subtotal, Net Area			390		390	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			510		510	
Total Bureau of Support Services Net			3,119		3,792	
Departmental			4,060		4,867	

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Bureau of Investigations						
Captain	160	1	160	1	160	
Lieutenant	160	1	160	1	160	
Administrative Assistant II	64	1	64	2	128	
Crime Analyst	64	1	64	2	128	
General Investigations Unit						
Sergeant	120	1	120	3	360	
Corporal	64	1	64	3	192	
Detective	24	9	216	15	360	
Special Investigations						
Sergeant	120	1	120	1	120	
Corporal	64	1	64	1	64	
Detective	24	3	72	5	120	
Special Enforcement						
Sergeant	120	2	240	2	240	
Officer	24	6	144	10	240	
Shared Spaces						
Equipment Room	50	1	50	1	50	
Reception Counter	50	1	50	1	50	
Interview Room	50	3	150	4	200	
Conference Room	250	1	250	1	250	
Copy/Supply	80	1	80	1	80	with plotter
Subtotal, Net Area			2,068		2,902	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			2,690		3,770	

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Bureau of Investigations, cont...						
Crime Scene Unit						
Crime Scene Supervisor	120	1	120	1	120	
Crime Scene Technician	64	4	256	6	384	
Property Receiving & Staging						
Work Area	100	1	100	1	100	
Lockers	60	1	60	1	60	
Receiving & Processing Area	260	1	260	1	260	
Evidence Viewing	100	1	100	1	100	
Laboratory	90	4	360	6	540	
Computer Forensics	320	1	320	1	320	
Chemical Storage	100	1	100	1	100	
Equipment Storage	100	1	100	1	100	
Waste Area	80	1	80	1	80	
Property Room	—	1	3,600	1	5,200	All forms of prop storage
Subtotal, Net Area			5,456		7,364	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			7,090		9,261	3% Substation reduction
Temporary Holding Facility						
Vehicular Sallyport	430	1	430	1	430	
Pedestrian Sallyport	50	1	50	1	50	
Prebooking	100	1	100	1	100	
Identification	100	1	100	1	100	
Shower	50	1	50	1	50	
Property	100	1	100	1	100	
Holding Cell	60	5	300	8	480	
ADA Holding Cell	60	1	60	1	60	
Interview Room	80	1	80	1	80	
Subtotal, Net Area			1,270		1,450	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			1,650		1,890	
Total Bureau of Investigations Net			8,794		11,716	
Departmental			11,430		14,921	

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department						
Ancillary Areas						
Lobby	1,000	1	1,000	1	542	458 sq ft Lobby @ Substation
Probation Office	100	1	100	1	100	
Community/Conference Room	250	1	250	0	0	200 sq ft Conf @ Substation
Live Scan	70	1	70	1	70	
Interview Room	70	1	70	1	70	
Break Room	255	1	255	1	255	
Staff Lactation Room	70	1	70	1	70	
Fitness Room	860	1	860	1	535	325 sq ft Gym @ Substation
Men's Lockers	22	60	1,290	119	2,559	33 Lockers @ Substation
Women's Lockers	22	36	774	42	903	16 Lockers @ Substation
DOC	900	1	900	1	900	Former EOC
DOC Conference	300	1	300	1	300	
DOC Table & Chair Storage	145	1	145	1	145	
Computer Room	400	1	400	1	245	155 sq ft IT @ Substation
Subtotal, Net Area			6,484		6,694	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			8,430		7,855	32% Substation reduction
Emergency Operations Center						
EOC	1,000	0	0	1	1,000	
Conference	240	0	0	2	480	
Table & Chair Storage	150	0	0	1	150	
Intermediate Distribution Frame	80	0	0	1	80	
Subtotal, Net Area			0		1,710	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			0		2,220	
Summary for Police Department Total Needs						
Net Area			23,602		29,223	
Departmental Area			30,680		36,648	
Gross Area (add 30%)			40,800		47,400	

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Substation						
Public Spaces						49+ Lockers
Lobby	310	0	0	1	310	
Public Toilets	74	0	0	2	148	
Community Meeting/ Briefing	823	0	0	1	823	
Community Room Storage	50	0	0	1	50	
Conference Room	200	0	0	1	200	
Secure Spaces						
Reception	208	0	0	1	208	
Kitchen/ Break Room	365	0	0	1	365	
Video Monitor Room	236	0	0	1	236	
Records Files	130	0	0	1	130	
Duplication/ Paper Processing Rm	125	0	0	1	125	
Central Supply	79	0	0	1	79	
Open Office Workstations	75	0	0	12	900	
District Commander's Office	175	0	0	1	175	
Sergeants Office Workstations	75	0	0	6	450	
Showers, Lockers, and Toilets	26	0	0	49	1,274	
Gym	325	0	0	1	325	
Janitor Closet	39	0	0	1	39	
Evidence Prep	164	0	0	1	164	
Evidence Receiving	145	0	0	1	145	
Electrical Closet	127	0	0	1	127	
Armory	62	0	0	1	62	
Server Room	155	0	0	1	155	
Staff Entry	112	0	0	1	112	
Subtotal, Net Area			0		6,602	
Gross Area (+33%)			0		8,800	

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Animal Services (net subtotals)						
Public Areas			733		1,680	
Animal Areas			3,572		4,817	
Staff Areas			1,300		1,877	
Shelter Veterinary			0		644	
Subtotal, Net Area			5,605		9,018	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			7,287		11,723	
Gross Area (add 33%)			9,692	-	15,592	-

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Training						
Administrative						
Training Office	120	1	120	1	120	
Clerk	64	0	0	1	64	
Visiting Instructor	64	0	0	1	64	
Reception/Waiting	100	0	0	1	100	
Copy/Workroom	200	1	143	1	200	
Supplies Storage	100	1	72	1	100	
Instructional Areas						
Classroom	1,085	1	777	1	1,085	
Arrest & Control Activity Area	3,100	1	2,220	1	3,100	
Tactical Firearms Simulator	970	1	695	1	970	
Furniture Storage	150	1	107	1	150	
Supplies Storage	150	1	107	1	150	
Break Area	450	1	322	1	450	
Weight Room	900	1	645	1	900	
Men's Shower	818	1	586	1	818	
Women's Shower	502	1	360	1	502	
Subtotal, Net Area			6,170		8,773	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			8,021		11,400	
Training Total Gross Area (+33%)			10,694		15,200	

Function/Department		Current	Horizon 2040	Comments
		Area	Area	
Police Building Totals				
Police Total Net Area		35,377	53,616	
Police Total Departmental Area		45,988	59,771	Substation is Gross Only
Police Total Gross Area		61,186	86,992	

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon Year 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Training						
Site Elements						
Firearms Training Range	1,378	1	1,378	1	1,378	Pre-Engineered Bldg.
Storage Building	400	0	0	1	400	
Site Vehicular Circulation	—	—	345	—	445	
Subtotal Training Site Elements			1,723		2,223	

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Horizon Year 2040		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Police Department Vehicles						
Administration						
Misc. Equipment UD		1		1		
Sedan		2		4		
SUV		3		5		
Off-Road EV		2		3		
Patrol Force						
Sedan		5		8		
SUV		37		62		
Pickup		3		5		
Community Services Division						
Sedan		1		2		
SUV		2		3		
Pickup		3		5		
Traffic						
Pickup		1		1		
Motorcycles		9		12		
Electric Motorcycle		2		3		
Car Trailer		3		4		
Speed Trailer		2		3		
Parking Enforcement						
Pickup		2		3		
Animal Services						
Pickup		2		3		
General Investigations						
Sedan		5		9		
SUV		4		7		
Undercover		1		2		
Special Enforcement						
SUV		2		3		
Property/Evidence						
Pickup		3		4		
SWAT						
Armored Personnel Carrier		1		1		
Van		1		1		
Generator		1		1		
Mobile Command Post						
KVM Switch		1		1		
Generator		1		1		
Police Vehicles Total		100		157		

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Fire Department Space Projections

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Buildout		Comments
		No. Unit s	Net Area	No. Unit s	Net Area	
Fire Department						
Administration						Becomes DOC in future
Fire Chief	320	1	320	1	320	
Division Chief	220	2	440	2	440	
EMS Manager	190	1	190	1	190	
Executive Assistant	100	1	100	1	100	
Fire Prevention						
Fire Marshal	190	1	190	1	190	
Administrative Assistant II	64	1	64	2	128	
Fire Inspectors	64	3	192	5	320	
Hazardous Materials Inspector	64	0	0	1	64	
Plans Check Examiner	64	1	64	2	128	
Layout	32	1	32	1	32	
Fire Operations (Administrative)						
Battalion Chief	190	3	570	3	570	
Administrative Assistant II	64	1	64	2	128	
Fire Training Division						
Fire Captain	120	0	0	1	120	
Administrative Assistant II	64	0	0	1	64	
Shared Spaces						
Reception	100	1	100	1	100	
Conference Room	530	1	530	1	530	
Training Room	740	1	740	1	740	
Copy Room	80	1	80	1	80	
Break Room	100	1	100	1	100	
Storage	20	1	20	1	20	
Equipment Storage	400	1	400	1	400	
Subtotal, Net Area			4,196		4,764	
Departmental Area (add 30%)			5,450		6,190	
Fire Admin Total Gross Area			7,270		8,250	
Note: at 9,646 gsf, existing Station "A" exceeds Horizon Year 2040 demand by 1,396 gsf.						
Operations						without Stations A or 97
Existing Fire Stations	—	5	29,372	4	23,820	
Fire Stations 94,95,and 99	7,070	0	0	3	21,210	
Fire Station 97	7,750	0	0	1	7,750	
Subtotal, Operations Gross Area			29,372		52,780	

Appendix B – Public Safety Space Projections, continued...

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Buildout		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Fire Training						
Administrative						
Training Office	120	0	0	1	120	
Clerk	64	0	0	1	64	
Visiting Instructor	64	0	0	1	64	
Reception/Waiting	100	0	0	1	100	
Copy/Workroom	200	0	0	1	200	
Supplies Storage	100	0	0	1	100	
Instructional Areas						
Classroom	1,085	0	0	1	1,085	
Furniture Storage	150	0	0	1	150	
Supplies Storage	150	0	0	1	150	
Break Area	450	0	0	1	450	
Weight Room	900	0	0	1	900	
Men's Shower	818	0	0	1	818	
Women's Shower	502	0	0	1	502	
Subtotal, Net Area		0		4,703		
Departmental Area (add 30%)		0		6,114		
Training Total Gross Area		0		8,130		
Site Elements						Pre-Engineered Bldg.
Drill /Burn Tower	9,000	0	0	1	9,000	
Drill/Burn Tower Apron	—	0	0	1	49,700	
Misc Training Apron	—	0	0	1	14,000	
Storage Building	400	0	0	1	400	
Site Vehicular Circulation	—	—	0	—	18,275	
Subtotal Training Site Elements		0		91,375		

Function/Department	Unit S.F.	Current		Buildout		Comments
		No. Units	Net Area	No. Units	Net Area	
Fire Department Vehicles						
Administration (SUVs)			9		9	Ownership of new engines proportionate to each new station's service to city.
Fire Prevention (Light Pickups)			3		5	
Fire Training Division (SUV)			0		1	
Fire Operations						
SUV			2			
Mid Size Pickup			4		4	
Heavy Duty Pickup			1		1	
Engine			10		14	
Ladder			1		2	
Water Tender			1		1	
Hazmat Trailer			1		1	Additional tender used primarily for unincorporated areas.
Fire Vehicles Total			32		38	

APPENDIX C – PUBLIC SAFETY SPACE PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION TABLE

Building	Shared	Fire			Police													Subtotal					
	EOC	Admin	Training	Stations	Admin	Field Operations	Community Services	Traffic	Code Enforcement	Support Services	Animal Services	Records	Dispatch	Shared Support	Investigations	Crime Scene	Holding	Ancillary Areas	Training				
A Fire Headquarters Station "A"		9,646 sf																		9,646 sf			
91 Fire Station 91				7,401 sf																7,400 sf			
92 Fire Station 92				5,136 sf																5,136 sf			
93 Fire Station 93				6,147 sf																6,147 sf			
94 Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) incl. Ladder Bay				7,750 sf																7,750 sf			
95 Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)				7,070 sf																7,070 sf			
96 Fire Station 96				5,136 sf																5,136 sf			
97 Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) incl. Ladder Bay				7,750 sf																7,750 sf			
99 Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)				7,070 sf																7,070 sf			
T Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3			9,868 sf																	9,868 sf			
		9,600 sf	9,900 sf	53,500 sf																73,000 sf			
P PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	2,220 sf				1,890 sf	1,331 sf	1,630 sf	764 sf	1,170 sf	410 sf		1,737 sf	1,220 sf	510 sf	3,770 sf	9,261 sf	1,890 sf	7,855 sf		47,425 sf			
S South Tracy Police Substation						1,839 sf		236 sf				463 sf				309 sf		3,765 sf		8,794 sf			
T Police Department Training Facility																			11,400 sf	15,162 sf			
D Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2											15,592 sf									15,592 sf			
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT				2,200 sf				1,900 sf	3,200 sf	1,600 sf	1,000 sf	1,200 sf	400 sf	15,600 sf	2,200 sf	1,200 sf	500 sf	3,800 sf	9,600 sf	1,900 sf	11,600 sf	11,400 sf	87,000 sf
RCT Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	400 sf																				400 sf	400 sf	
SUBTOTAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES				400 sf																		400 sf	
SUBTOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES				2,600 sf	9,600 sf	9,900 sf	53,500 sf	1,900 sf	3,200 sf	1,600 sf	1,000 sf	1,200 sf	400 sf	15,600 sf	2,200 sf	1,200 sf	500 sf	3,800 sf	9,600 sf	1,900 sf	11,600 sf	11,400 sf	160,400 sf



PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



APPENDIX D – PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY COST ESTIMATE

KEY	PLACE NAME	Program Area			Site Development Cost			Remodel Cost		New Cost		2020 Direct Construction Bid Day Cost		
		Existing	Remodel	New	2040	Site Area	Site Unit Cost	Subtotal	Remodel Area	Unit Cost	Subtotal		New Area	Unit Cost
A	Fire Headquarters Station "A"	9,646 sf			9,646 sf	0.6 ac								
91	Fire Station 91	7,401 sf			7,401 sf									
92	Fire Station 92	5,136 sf			5,136 sf									
93	Fire Station 93	6,147 sf			6,147 sf									
94	Fire Station 94 (To be Relocated, Land owned by SCFA)	5,552 sf												
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) Incl. Ladder Bay			7,750 sf	7,750 sf	2.0 ac	\$800,000	\$1,600,000				7,750 sf	\$500	\$3,875,000
95	Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)			7,070 sf	7,070 sf	0.9 ac	\$800,000	\$1,600,000				7,070 sf	\$500	\$3,535,000
96	Fire Station 96	5,136 sf			5,136 sf									
97	Fire Station 97 (To be Relocated, Sell property.)	3,009 sf												
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) Incl. Ladder Bay			7,750 sf	7,750 sf	2.0 ac	\$800,000	\$1,600,000				7,750 sf	\$500	\$3,875,000
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)			7,070 sf	7,070 sf	0.9 ac	\$800,000	\$745,638				7,070 sf	\$500	\$3,535,000
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3			9,868 sf	9,868 sf	5.1 ac	\$275,000	\$1,410,750				9,868 sf	\$400	\$3,947,200
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	33,500 sf	0 sf	39,500 sf	73,000 sf	11.6 ac	\$462,000	\$5,356,400	0 sf	\$0	\$0	39,500 sf	\$390	\$15,232,200
I	PD Annex (1979 Police Station to be Demolished)	27,616 sf	27,616 sf	19,809 sf	47,425 sf	4.7 ac	\$800,000	\$3,760,000	27,616 sf	\$300	\$8,284,800	19,809 sf	\$650	\$12,875,850
A	PD North Annex (Leased - to be let go)	16,739 sf												
S	South Tracy Police Substation	2,296 sf	918 sf	12,866 sf	15,162 sf	2.0 ac	\$250,000	\$500,000	918 sf	\$150	\$137,760	12,866 sf	\$250	\$3,216,500
T	Police Department Training Facility	9,692 sf		5,900 sf	15,592 sf	2.2 ac	\$200,000	\$438,000				5,900 sf	\$600	\$3,540,000
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2													
RCT	Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	39,600 sf	28,500 sf	47,400 sf	87,000 sf	11.1 ac	\$582,300	\$6,558,000	28,500 sf	\$300	\$8,422,600	47,400 sf	\$530	\$25,348,500
	SUBTOTAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	0 sf	0 sf	400 sf	400 sf	0.5 ac	\$360,000	\$180,000				400 sf	\$1,250	\$500,000
	SUBTOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES	73,100 sf	28,500 sf	87,300 sf	160,400 sf	23.2 ac	\$517,355	\$11,994,400	28,500 sf	\$296	\$8,422,600	87,300 sf	\$471	\$41,080,700
														\$66,385,000

(continued)														
A	Fire Headquarters Station "A"													
91	Fire Station 91													
92	Fire Station 92													
93	Fire Station 93													
94	Fire Station 94 (To be Relocated, Property owned by SCFA)	\$5,475,000		\$6,942,300	\$900	\$2,429,805	\$9,372,000	\$2,600,000	\$500,000	\$12,472,000		\$11,472,000		
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) Incl. Ladder Bay	\$4,887,376		\$6,197,192	\$880	\$2,169,017	\$8,366,000	\$874,518	\$192,837	\$9,433,000		\$7,921,550		
95	Fire Station 95 (Tracy Hills Area)													
96	Fire Station 96													
97	Fire Station 97 (To be Relocated, Sell property.)	\$5,475,000		\$6,942,300	\$900	\$2,429,805	\$9,372,000	\$2,600,000	\$500,000	\$12,472,000		\$11,472,000		
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) Incl. Ladder Bay	\$4,280,658		\$5,427,819	\$770	\$1,899,747	\$7,328,600	\$1,000,000	\$233,012	\$8,561,000		\$7,735,668		
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	\$5,357,950		\$6,793,881	\$690	\$2,377,858	\$9,117,000	\$500,000	\$9,672,000	\$50,558,700		\$7,072,000		
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	\$25,476,000		\$32,303,500	\$820	\$11,306,500	\$43,610,000	\$5,974,500	\$1,425,800	\$50,558,700		\$40,151,900		
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	\$24,970,650		\$31,599,384	\$670	\$11,059,784	\$42,659,900	\$2,160,114	\$0	\$44,819,000		\$44,819,000		
I	PD Annex (1979 Police Station to be Demolished)													
A	PD North Annex (Leased - to be let go)	\$7,476,100		\$9,479,695	\$1,080	\$3,317,893	\$12,798,000	\$648,049	\$1,760,000	\$15,206,000		\$15,206,000		
S	South Tracy Police Substation	\$3,854,260		\$4,887,202	\$350	\$1,710,521	\$6,598,000	\$36,098	\$402,925	\$7,037,000		\$7,037,000		
T	Police Department Training Facility	\$3,978,000		\$5,004,104	\$850	\$1,765,436	\$6,810,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$7,810,000		\$7,810,000		
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	\$40,229,000		\$51,010,400	\$670	\$17,853,600	\$68,865,000	\$3,844,300	\$2,162,900	\$74,872,000		\$0		
RCT	Public Safety Radio Communications Facilities	\$680,000		\$862,200	\$2,160	\$301,784	\$1,164,000	\$4,067,200	\$125,000	\$5,356,000		\$5,356,000		
	SUBTOTAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	\$680,000		\$862,200	\$2,160	\$301,784	\$1,164,000	\$4,067,200	\$125,000	\$5,356,000		\$5,356,000		
	TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES (rounded)	\$66,385,000		\$84,177,000	\$730	\$29,462,000	\$113,639,000	\$13,886,000	\$3,714,000	\$130,790,000		\$120,380,000		



PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



APPENDIX E – PUBLIC SAFETY SOLAR NANOGRID COST ESTIMATE

KEY	PLACE NAME	Program Area		Loads		Rooftop Solar			Solar Carport (e)		
		New	Predicted (N) Facility Load	Predicted Maximum Battery Load	Rooftop Solar Maximum Capacity	Rooftop Solar Maximum Size	Estimated Rooftop Solar Cost @ \$3K/kW	Needed Solar Carport Capacity	Needed Solar Carport Size	Needed Solar Carport System Size	Estimated Solar Carport Cost @ \$3.4K/kW
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) Incl. Ladder Bay	7,750 sf	104 MMWh/Yr	99 kW	70 MMWh/Yr	74 kW	\$222,000	34 MMWh/Yr	3,800 sf	36 kW	\$122,400
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) Incl. Ladder Bay	7,750 sf	104 MMWh/Yr	99 kW	70 MMWh/Yr	74 kW	\$222,000	34 MMWh/Yr	3,800 sf	36 kW	\$122,400
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	7,070 sf	95 MMWh/Yr	90 kW	64 MMWh/Yr	67 kW	\$201,000	31 MMWh/Yr	3,500 sf	33 kW	\$112,200
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	9,868 sf	132 MMWh/Yr	126 kW	89 MMWh/Yr	94 kW	\$282,000	43 MMWh/Yr	4,800 sf	46 kW	\$156,400
SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT		39,500 sf	435 MMWh/Yr	414 kW	293 MMWh/Yr	309 kW	\$927,000	142 MMWh/Yr	15,900 sf	151 kW	\$513,400
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	19,809 sf	265 MMWh/Yr	253 kW	89 MMWh/Yr	94 kW	\$282,000	176 MMWh/Yr	19,600 sf	186 kW	\$632,400
S	South Tracy Police Substation	8,794 sf	118 MMWh/Yr	113 kW	79 MMWh/Yr	84 kW	\$252,000	39 MMWh/Yr	4,300 sf	41 kW	\$139,400
T	Police Department Training Facility	12,866 sf	173 MMWh/Yr	165 kW	116 MMWh/Yr	123 kW	\$369,000	57 MMWh/Yr	6,300 sf	60 kW	\$204,000
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	5,900 sf	79 MMWh/Yr	76 kW	53 MMWh/Yr	56 kW	\$168,000	26 MMWh/Yr	2,900 sf	27 kW	\$91,800
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT		47,400 sf	635 MMWh/Yr	607 kW	337 MMWh/Yr	357 kW	\$1,071,000	298 MMWh/Yr	33,100 sf	314 kW	\$1,067,600
SUBTOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES		87,300 sf	1,070 MMWh/Yr	1,021 kW	630 MMWh/Yr	666 kW	\$1,998,000	440 MMWh/Yr	49,000 sf	465 kW	\$1,581,000

(continued)


KEY	PLACE NAME	2020 Subtotal Solar Carport Cost	Annual Construction Input Inflation 2021 & 2022	2023 Subtotal Solar Carport Bid Day Cost	Battery		Annual Construction Input Inflation 2021 & 2022	2023 Subtotal Battery System Bid Day Cost	2023 Total Project Bid Day Cost for Solar and Battery Installation	35% Contingency: 10% Design & Planning; 10% CM; 15% General Contingency	2023 Total Project Cost for Solar and Battery Installation
					Needed Code-Required 6-Hour Battery	Estimated Battery System Cost					
94	Fire Station 94 (Reloc. Cordes Ranch Area) Incl. Ladder Bay	\$122,400	26.8%	\$155,203	0.30 MWh	\$240,000	26.8%	\$304,320	\$459,523	\$160,833	\$620,356
97	Fire Station 97 (Relocation - Valpico) Incl. Ladder Bay	\$122,400	26.8%	\$155,203	0.30 MWh	\$240,000	26.8%	\$304,320	\$459,523	\$160,833	\$620,356
99	Fire Station 99 (Ellis Area)	\$112,200	26.8%	\$142,270	0.27 MWh	\$216,000	26.8%	\$273,888	\$416,158	\$145,655	\$561,813
T	Fire Training Facility - Phases 2 & 3	\$156,400	26.8%	\$198,315	0.31 MWh	\$248,000	26.8%	\$314,464	\$512,779	\$179,473	\$692,252
SUBTOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT		\$513,400		\$651,000	1.18 MWh	\$944,000		\$1,197,000	\$1,848,000	\$646,800	\$2,494,800
P	PD Remodel & Addition on Expanded Civic Center Site	\$632,400	26.8%	\$801,883	0.76 MWh	\$608,000	26.8%	\$770,944	\$1,572,827	\$550,490	\$2,123,317
S	South Tracy Police Substation	\$139,400	26.8%	\$176,759	0.34 MWh	\$272,000	26.8%	\$344,896	\$521,655	\$182,579	\$704,235
T	Police Department Training Facility	\$204,000	26.8%	\$258,672	0.50 MWh	\$400,000	26.8%	\$507,200	\$765,872	\$268,055	\$1,033,927
D	Police Department Animal Shelter - Phase 2	\$91,800	26.8%	\$116,402	0.23 MWh	\$184,000	26.8%	\$233,312	\$349,714	\$122,400	\$472,114
SUBTOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT		\$1,067,600		\$1,353,700	1.83 MWh	\$1,464,000		\$1,856,400	\$3,210,100	\$1,123,500	\$4,333,600
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES (rounded)		\$1,581,000	26.8%	\$2,005,000	3.01 MWh	\$2,408,000	26.8%	\$3,054,000	\$5,059,000	\$1,771,000	\$6,829,000



PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX F – CIVIC CENTER PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY SITE PLAN

Renovate existing 27,600 square foot building. Expand site to 4.7 acres on Civic Center campus by partially closing 10th Street and demolishing former 1979 Police Station. Add 19,784 square foot addition, expanded vehicle sally port, expanded secure parking, and expanded parking.



PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



APPENDIX G – POLICE SUBSTATION SITE PLAN

Provide a new 8,800 square foot facility on 2.3 acres to be purchased by City near Ellis, South Linne, and Tracy Hills developments. With 20 visitor, 20 secure staff, and 54 secure police vehicle spaces, the substation site relieves some of the parking pressures on the Civic Center facility. The site further includes a covered space for an EOC “RV” Vehicle and covered motorcycle parking.



POLICE SUBSTATION		
DESCRIPTION	HORIZON 2040	NOTES
LANDSCAPE	1.0 ACRES	Incl. Sidewalks and Courtyard.
PARKING & DRIVES	1.1 ACRES	Equals 1-Story 8,800 SF Building
BUILDING AREA	0.2 ACRES	Footprint.
SITE AREA TOTAL	2.3 ACRES	
TOTAL POLICE VEHICLES	54 SP	Includes 20 "future" spaces as
TOTAL STAFF SPACES	20 SP	shown on site plan.
TOTAL VISITOR SPACES	20 SP	
TOTAL	94 SP	


PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX H – POLICE SUBSTATION CONCEPT FLOOR PLAN

The floor plan provides open office space for twelve workstations and private office space for six sergeants workstations. The plan includes a public lobby with restrooms and secure access to an 823 square foot Community Meeting room. The Community Meeting room, in turn, has access to a covered outdoor patio. For staff, across from lockers, is a 325 square foot Gym. For maximum flexibility in staffing, Option 2 below shows an all-gender version of the Locker room, with private changing rooms.



Floor Plan - Option 1



Floor Plan - Option 2

PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK



APPENDIX J – GROWTH PROJECTION DATA

The growth assumptions in this Master Plan are based on data from September 2021, received from the City of Tracy. They don't include the growth assumed in the City of Tracy 6th cycle Housing Element.

Table 3-2. Projected Development by Time Frame within the City's Sphere of Influence^(a)						
Land Use Type	Total Projected New Development by 2025		Total Projected New Development by 2040 ^(b)		Total Projected New Development at Buildout ^(c)	
	Dwelling Units	Gross Acres	Dwelling Units	Gross Acres	Dwelling Units	Gross Acres
Residential – Very Low Density ^(d)	-	-	265	223	1,292 ^(e)	907
Residential – Low Density ^(d)	2,880	647	6,490	1,438	8,375	1,871
Residential – Medium Density ^(d)	253	49	5,444	608	8,150	902
Residential – High Density	1,591	81	3,300	181	7,033	380
Residential – Very High Density	110	3	110	3	110	3
Commercial	-	45	-	549	-	818
Office	-	1	-	115	-	256
Industrial	-	859	-	3,136	-	4,093
Institutional	-	3	-	187	-	187
Identified Parks ^(f)	-	139	-	280	-	280
Total	4,834	1,826	15,609	6,720	24,960	9,698
<p>(a) Includes existing development constructed after 2017.</p> <p>(b) Includes new development constructed within 2025 and 2040 time frames.</p> <p>(c) Includes new development constructed within 2025, 2040, and Buildout time frames.</p> <p>(d) For selected projects and development areas, it was assumed that 11.2% of the total gross acres in the very low, low, and medium density residential land use categories will develop as parks.</p> <p>(e) Includes existing units from Mountain View Annexation.</p> <p>(f) Includes park areas identified within the Rocking Horse, Ellis, Avenues, Tracy Hills Phase 1, and Legacy Fields developments.</p>						

To calculate population data, use 3.50 people per household for population projections, from CA Department of Finance Table E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State, 2011-2021 with 2010 Census Benchmark

<https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/e-5/>